

FBI

Date: January 18, 1972

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Dalbey _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Ponder _____
Mr. Bates _____
Mr. Waikart _____
Mr. Walters _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI ATT: ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)

Mc LEK
SIO
(OO-LA)

Re my Airtel dated January 12, 1972, and my TEL dated January 17, 1972.

Enclosed are two additional copies each of Memoranda of Explanation from _____ concerning this matter which are being furnished for the completion of Bureau files.

Records
File Vcc each in
Personnel file of
Charles E. Hickey, Jr.
Robert J. Rowe
John Daniel C. Rogers
9/9/72

2 - Bureau (Encls.)
1 - Boston (66-82)
JLH:ds
(3)

ENCLOSURE

REC-32

X-117

65-74060-2968

JAN 20 1972

THREE

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

53

6 FEB 4 1972
97 FEB 4 1972

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FBI

Date: 1/14/72

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED

Via _____

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: DID
 FROM: *ug* SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952)
 SUBJECT: MC LEK
 SIO

Enclosed for the Bureau are four copies each of telephone toll records of the Ambassador Hotel, 3400 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, which relate to calls placed from the room occupied by DANIEL and PATRICIA ELLSBERG 1/3-5/72.

Above being submitted for inclusion in the [REDACTED]

The telephone number of the Ambassador is 213-387-7011.

Bureau will be advised when all subscribers have been identified.

cc airtel + encl. set 6/11/03
 2- Bureau (Encls. 4) (RM)
 1- Los Angeles
 RFK:fet
 (3)

JMT
cc [unclear]
 ENCLOSURE

EX-117

1-24-72

cc encl. to ISD ATT MARDIAN
 ATT MARTIN FOR INFO BY
 Spec Mess. TRB/AT

REC-36

65-74060-2972
JAN 17 1972

97 JAN 28 1972

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 39 .35 1 MINS E BERG RM 686 213-478-2269 3-01P 1/3 210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	35
FED. TAX	04
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	01
TOTAL	55

JUN-3-72 L'DIST — — * 0.55

055

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 33 .35 2 MIN ELLSBERG RM 686 213-478-2269 4-38P 1-3 100

TEL. CO. CHARGE	35
FED. TAX	04
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	01
TOTAL	55

JUN-5-72 L'DIST — — * 0.55

W-636

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 14 .35 FOR 3 MINS ELSBERG RM 686 213-459-2830 11-01A 1/3 224

TEL. CO. CHARGE	35
FED. TAX	04
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	01
TOTAL	55

JUN-3-72 L'DIST — — * 0.55

W-636

686

AM 39 .45 FOR W MINS XXX 2 MINS ELLSBERG 4. 6XXX RM ~~666~~ 213-456-6953

5-08P QXR 210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	45
FED. TAX	08
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	02
TOTAL	67

JAN-4-72 L'DIST * 0.67

N-606

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 42 .50 FOR 6 MINS DANIEL ELLSBERG RM 686 213-472-8409 6-19P

1/4 210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	50
FED. TAX	05
SER. CHG.	13
MESSAGE	02
TOTAL	72

JAN-4-72 L'DIST * 0.72

B-613

n72

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 44 .95 FOR 8 MINS DANIEL AXXX ELLSBERG RM 686 213-456-2051 6-21P

1/4 210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	95
FED. TAX	10
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	04
TOTAL	124

JAN-4-72 L'DIST * 1.24

B-613

B-613

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

43 .35 FOR 2 MINS ELLSBERG RM 686 213-456-6949 6-20P 1/4 210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	25
FED. TAX	05
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	01
TOTAL	55

JUN-4-72 L'DIST * 0.55

L'DIST * 0.55

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

4 1.90 5 MIN ELLSBERG RM 686 202-785-3111 9-38A 1-4 226

TEL. CO. CHARGE	190
FED. TAX	19
SER. CHG.	
MESSAGE	
TOTAL	209

JUN-4-72 L'DIST * 2.09

N 0555

RA
FBI

Date: 1/20/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via A I R T E L _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
FROM: SAC, SAN DIEGO (65-2404) (P)

MC LEK
SIO

Wayne

Re Bureau airtel dated 1/14/72.

[REDACTED]

LEADS

LOS ANGELES

AT LAGUNA BEACH, CALIFORNIA. Will determine the identity of the subscriber of telephone number [REDACTED]

AT SAN CLEMENTE, CALIFORNIA. Determine the identity of the subscriber to telephone number [REDACTED]

SAN DIEGO

San Diego is presently determining the identity of subscribers to telephone numbers [REDACTED] both of which are unlisted telephone numbers.

- REC-32
- ② - Bureau (RM)
 - 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
 - 2 - San Diego

ADO:tld
(6)

12 JAN 24 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

97 JAN 28 1972

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M Per _____

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

Aug 28 .90 FOR 14 MINS ELLSBERG RM 686 213-475-4501 1-14P 1/5

210

TEL. CO. CHARGE	90
FED. TAX	9
SER. CHG.	15
MESSAGE	4
TOTAL	118

JUN-5-72 L'DIST

* 1.13

L-6.3.1

AM 24 .45 5 MINS ELLSBERG RM 686 213-472-1011 10-59A 1-5 524

TEL CO CHARGE	45
FED. TAX	05
SER. CHG	15
MESSAGE	02
TOTAL	67

JUN-5-72 1:11

* 0.67

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 18 .35 1 MIN ELLSBERG RM 686 213-38XXX A- -396-175E 10-20A 1-5

226

TEL CO CHARGE	35
FED. TAX	4
SER. CHG	15
MESSAGE	1
TOTAL	55

JUN-5-72 1:11

* 0.55

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 17 2.60 15 MIN ELLSBERG RM 686 805-969-5021 10-19A 1-5 226

TEL CO CHARGE	210
FED. TAX	36
SER. CHG	15
MESSAGE	10
TOTAL	311

JUN-5-72 1:11

* 3.11

LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE CALLS

AM 15 .80 1 MIN ELLISBERG RM 686 805-969-5021 10-18A 1-5 226

TEL CO CHARGE	80
FED. TAX	8
SER. CHG	15
MESSAGE	3
TOTAL	106

JUN-5-72 1:11

* 1.05

N-606

FBI

Date: 1/19/72

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

REC-62

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060) ATTN: DID

FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO

ReLAairtel 1/14/72 submitting telephone toll records of ELLSBERG's stay at the Ambassador Hotel, Los Angeles, 1/3-5/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau are eight copies of an LHM setting forth identities of persons called from ELLSBERG's room. The LHM is suitable for inclusion in the [REDACTED] and for dissemination to the Department.

The confidential source referred to in the LHM is [REDACTED] Ambassador Hotel, who has requested his identity be protected.

[REDACTED], at whose home ANTHONY RUSSO was located following issuance of warrant for his arrest in instant matter. Bureau authority has been received to interview [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] an apparent close associate of ELLSBERG. [REDACTED] was drowned in a scuba diving accident on 1/2/72.

ENCLOSURE
Bureau (Encls. 8) (RM)

1- Los Angeles

RFK:fet

(4)

1-24-72

ICC LHM TO ISD - MARGAN ATT MARTIN
FOR INFO. BY SPEC MESS

1 JAN 22 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

97 JAN 31 1972

Approved: _____

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

LA 105-27952

[REDACTED], the same apartment building as the [REDACTED],
and the same apartment building in which ELLSBERG formerly
resided. No interview of [REDACTED] is recommended as the call may
have related to [REDACTED]

~~YVONNE SVENIE~~, ELLSBERG's former paramour, is
employed at the Swedish Trade Commissioner's office. *Calix.*

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] previously identified in this investigation.

BA
FBI

Date: 1/18/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
FROM: SAC, BUFFALO (65-2971) (RUC)
SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO

Wagner

ReBuairtel to Buffalo 1/12/72.

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau are six copies of an FD-302 reflecting the results of leads set forth in reairtel. Also enclosed is one copy each for Los Angeles and Boston for their information.

*1cc encl to AAG, Markey
(1) Bugher - 260
in 1/18/72
JRM
(1) returns to US*

l
ENCLOSURE

- 10*
2 - Bureau (RM) (Encs. 6) (AM) *REC-326*
1 - Boston (RM) (Enc. 1) (AM)
1 - Los Angeles (RM) (Enc. 1) (AM)
1 - Buffalo
- 65-74060-2975*

15 JAN 20 1972

HDT;rmm
(5)

97 JAN 23 1972

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____
[Signature]
SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

-1-

Date of transcription 1/18/72

[REDACTED], Imaging Systems Evaluation, Xerox Corporation, Rochester, furnished the following explanations for terms used in his report entitled, "Determination of Generation To Which A Copy Belongs": [REDACTED]

For the purpose of the report the documents referred to as the "original" documents are actually first generation xerographic copies from one of a set of a known number of sets produced from a typed original. These copies were generated on a xerox machine located at the Pentagon in Washington, D.C.

The term "retrieved copies" is used to refer to four pages of the "McNamara Study" copies on and retrieved from a Savin 230 copier located at Bedford, Massachusetts.

For the purpose of the report the term "first generation xerox copy" refers to xerographic copies made from the "original" documents as defined above (in reality the "first generation xerox copy" is two generations removed from the original typed document).

The term "unauthorized copies" is used to refer to all copies made from the "McNamara Study" without the expressed permission of authorized personnel (i.e. copies made at Los Angeles, California, on a Xerox 914 machine and at Bedford, Massachusetts on a Savin machine).

The term "Xerographic original document" means the same as the original document in the report.

Page nine, paragraph six, section of the report numbered seven, and entitled "Assumptions" paragraph one, section b, reflects the term "same typed original on the same copying machine." This term means that it is assumed that all "xerographic original documents" were produced on the same xerox machine (at the Pentagon) from the same typed original of the "McNamara Study." In the last sentence of this paragraph the term "two Xerographic originals" refers to the xerographic original which was copied in an unauthorized manner at Los Angeles and the xerographic original which was

Interviewed on 1/14/72 at Rochester, New York File # Buffalo 65-2971

by [REDACTED] Date dictated 1/17/72

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

BU 65-2971

-2-

used to make test copies and subsequently as the document
used for the examinations at the Xerox Corporation,
Rochester, New York.

FBI

Date: 1/21/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM: SAC, BUFFALO (65-2971) (P)

MC LEK
SIO

Re Buffalo airtel to the Bureau, dated 1/7/72 and
Bureau airtel to Buffalo, dated 1/12/72.

Referenced Bureau airtel instructed Buffalo Office
to provide Los Angeles and Boston single copies (1) an FD-302
dated 1/7/72 which pertained to an interview conducted on
1/6/72 in Rochester, New York by [REDACTED] with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], Optical Technology Section,
Development Department, Xerox Corporation, Rochester, New York,
(2) Buffalo airtel to the Bureau, dated 1/7/72 and (3)
one copy report entitled "Determination of Generation to
Which a Copy Belongs" provided by [REDACTED]
Optical Technology Section, Development Department, Xerox
Corporation, Rochester, New York. The above report prepared
by [REDACTED] Imaging Systems Evaluation,
Xerox Corporation, Rochester, New York.

Above identified items are enclosures to this
communication.

- 2 - Bureau (AM) (RM)
- 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (Enc. 3) (Info) (AM) (RM)
- 1 - Boston (65-5236) (Enc. 3) (Info) (AM) (RM)
- 2 - Buffalo

JWS:sjg
(6)

REC-32

65-74060-2982

16 JAN 24 1972

RECEIVED
FBI OFFICE

97 JAN 28 1972

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

Per

101
ST
Mr. E. S. Miller

C. D. Brennan

1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Mohr
1/18/72
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey
1 - Mr. Miller
1 - Mr. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wagoner

**LEAKS OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
TO THE PRESS**

To summarize, for information, recent developments in our continuing investigation of alleged leaks of classified data to the press.

MC LEK (Daniel Ellsberg): As previously indicated, Departmental Attorney Warren Reese on 1/10/72 issued a subpoena for the bank records of Beacon Press, Boston, the nonprofit publishing arm of the Unitarian Universalist Association (UUA) which published Senator Mike Gravel's edition of the "Pentagon papers." This subpoena was not served since the Department advised it had been prematurely issued. In the meantime, the UUA filed suit for cancellation of this subpoena. On 1/17/72 U.S. District Judge Andrew A. Caffrey, Boston, declined to hear the suit brought by the UUA since it was established no subpoena was currently outstanding for the previously mentioned bank records.

On 1/17/72 Charles E. Nesson, attorney for Ellsberg, requested a protective order for his client from U.S. District Judge Anthony Julian, Boston, stating that information obtained from the Federal Grand Jury, Boston, should not be used against Ellsberg since he was reindicted at Los Angeles 12/29/71. After lengthy argument it was agreed that Reese and Nesson would confer on their differences and submit written summaries to Judge Julian 1/18/72.

On 1/17/72 attorneys for [REDACTED], Institute for Policy Studies (IPS); [REDACTED] Princeton University; [REDACTED] Massachusetts Institute of Technology; and [REDACTED] Harvard University, appeared before Judge Julian in an effort to have subpoenas returnable 1/18/72 for clients!

63-28194

- ① - 65-74060 (MC LEK)
1 - 100-447035 (Institute for Policy Studies)
1 - Robert Wall, former Special Agent

HJM:mcn (11)

65-74060-
NOT RECORDED
JAN 21 1972
CONTINUED - OVER

61 JAN 25 1972

Memorandum to Mr. E. S. Miller
RE: LEAKS OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
TO THE PRESS
62-98194

appearance at Federal Grand Jury, Boston, quashed. lengthy arguments on behalf of [REDACTED] were heard; however, no decision was rendered concerning motions of any of the above individuals. Subpoenas for all four of these persons were stayed pending further hearings 1/13/72.

Attorney for [REDACTED] informed the court he had an affidavit from former SA Robert Wall stating Wall was formerly case agent of IPS investigation, Washington, D.C., and had conducted lengthy investigation including review of bank records at Riggs National Bank without benefit of subpoena. Judge Julian asked whether Wall's affidavit stated IPS had been "bugged" or "wiretapped" and attorney stated "no" but Wall had not been asked the question at the time the affidavit was drawn; however, the attorney planned to recontact Wall.

Information similar to the above and attributed to Wall appeared in the 1/13/72 issue of "The New York Times" in an article by Robert H. Smith. We have not had a wiretap on IPS.

ACTION:

1. For information.
2. Investigations of leaks of classified information to the press continue to receive intensive investigative attention and you will be advised of pertinent developments.
3. Pertinent portions of data herein will be included in a weekly summary to The White House, Attorney General and his Deputy, as well as Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division.

FBI

Date: January 19, 1972

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
FROM: SAC, BALTIMORE (65-3896) P
SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO
OO: Los Angeles

[Handwritten signature]

Re: Baltimore airtel 1/7/72.

On 1/7/72, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] advised [REDACTED] that the individual who was present when the "Pentagon Papers" were duplicated at the "Washington Post" was not as previously reported, but actually [REDACTED] with the "Post". [REDACTED] described [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] stated that he observed [REDACTED] recently and requested of a fellow employee as to the identity of the individual and was subsequently advised that it was [REDACTED] and not [REDACTED] list.

[REDACTED] further advised the individuals who were present with [REDACTED] when the "Pentagon Papers" were duplicated are as follows:

- 2 - Bureau (RM)
 - 2 - Boston (65-5236) (RM)
 - 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
 - 2 - WFO (65-11613) (RM)
 - 2 - Baltimore
- (10)
KF:mlm

[REDACTED]

NO 0-2983

2 JAN 20 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: 97 JAN 20 1972
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

BA (65-3896)

The above individuals are all employed in the Department at the "Washington Post". [REDACTED] is the individual previously identified by [REDACTED].

LEADS:

WFO

Through established sources attempt to further identify [REDACTED]

Conduct indices on above as well as [REDACTED]. W
conduct background [REDACTED] and consider the desirability of
requesting Bureau authority to interview [REDACTED]

BALTIMORE

Will maintain contact with [REDACTED]
and attempt to further identify above listed individuals.

FBI

Date: 1/7/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL

Via _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
FROM: SAC, BUFFALO (65-2971) (P)
MCLEK SIO

Wagner

Re Buffalo airtel to Bureau dated 11/17/71,
Bureau airtel to Buffalo dated 12/28/71 and Buffalo airtel
to Bureau dated 12/30/71.

Attached herewith are four (4) copies of an
FD-302 and four (4) copies of a report prepared by [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], Imaging Systems Evaluation, Xerox Corp.,
Rochester, New York. This report reflects the results of
examinations conducted by [REDACTED] of the
Xerox Corp. on captioned matter. One (1) copy of this
report is being retained at Buffalo.

- 2 - Bureau (Encs. 8) (RM) (AM)
- 2 - Buffalo

HDT;dmw
(4)

*1 cc encl "Determination of Generation
to which a Copy Belongs" to AAG-150-Martin & P-O
Bayhardt (by courier) for info. Daniel Ellsberg -
Esp-X. By RS 1-21-72 JHR/rep
with 1 cc of 70 P2 to [REDACTED]*

REC-3

65-74060-2985

6 JAN 10 1972

ENCLOSURE

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

97 JAN 23 1972

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M D

OFFICE 1971-413-135

DERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

-1-

Date of transcription 1/7/72

[REDACTED] Optical Technology Section, Development Department, Xerox Corporation, Rochester, New York, made available five copies of a report reflecting the results of an examination conducted by [REDACTED] of the Xerox Corporation, on October 5, November 4 and November 8, 1971. This examination was made in efforts to determine the number of unauthorized xerographic copy generations that were made from a report entitled "McNamara Study." The examinations were made on copies from the "McNamara Study," which were retrieved from a Savin copying machine located at Bedford, Massachusetts and on test copies made on a Xerox 914 machine located at Los Angeles, California, and the aforementioned Savin machine. The test copies were made from the actual "McNamara Study."

Interviewed on 1/6/72 at Rochester, New York File # Buffalo 65-2971


by [REDACTED]; dmw Date dictated 1/6/72

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCLOSURE

DETERMINATION OF GENERATION
TO WHICH A COPY BELONGS

PREPARED BY:

A large, dark, irregular blacked-out area redacting the signature of the preparer.

APPROVED BY:


A large, dark, irregular blacked-out area redacting the signature of the approver.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. PURPOSE
3. APPROACH
4. CALCULATIONS & RESULTS
5. CONCLUSIONS
6. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES
7. ASSUMPTIONS
8. HUMIDITY EFFECTS
9. DATA SHEETS

1. INTRODUCTION

The experiment described was performed at the request of a federal agency in order to answer questions relating to a governmental investigation. This report describes the purpose, results and conclusions of the experiment. A listing of pertinent assumptions is provided. Lengthy and elaborate proofs and justifications have been avoided in this report. Copies of original data sheets are attached.*

2. PURPOSE

The objective of the experiment was as follows: given a copy retrieved from a particular Savin 230 copier (hence forth the Savin machine) and the assumption that a Xerox copy was used as the input document to generate this Savin retrieved copy; determine the number of Xerox copy generations that preceded the Savin copy, produced on a particular Xerox 914 copier (henceforth the Xerox machine), not including those copy generations known (or assumed) to have been produced in an authorized manner within the confines of federal facilities.

3. APPROACH

Two possible approaches to the problem are:

1. If the magnification of the Xerox machine in question was other than unity, this fact should allow a determination of the number of generations by means of linear measurements of the text of various copy generations.
2. Since the quality of the text of copies from copying machines degrades slightly with each generation, this fact might be used to determine the number of generations.

The first approach was chosen as the method to be used.

Approach 2 is less attractive because of the wide range of variability associated with the effect of any given machine on copy quality. (This effect depends upon the condition of the machine at time of use; we have no way of establishing this.)

* These data sheets also contain some spot calculations which were used at the time for data continuity checks; they should be disregarded.

3. APPROACH (Cont'd)

Federal Agent, [REDACTED] provided the following documents for use in the experiment:

1. Savin Retrieved Copies
2. "Originals" of the page numbers corresponding to the retrieved copies. ***
3. Test copies of various generations and combinations from the Xerox machine and the Savin machine. These test copies were generated within the past three months.

4. SAMPLE CALCULATIONS AND RESULTS

The number of generations was determined in two ways:

1. A test set of Savin copies was generated where the input document was one of a set of sequential xerographic generations from the Xerox machine. The value of n , the number of Xerox generations, is determined by making direct comparisons (measurements) of the retrieved copy and the corresponding set of Savin copies. Fractional values of n are easily calculated.
2. Determine the magnification of the Xerox and Savin machines by means of the test copies. Measure the original and the corresponding retrieved copy. Calculate of value of " n " required to produce the observed difference between the original and retrieved copy.

Method 1) is the more accurate of the two since less measurements and calculations are required.

Sample calculations using each method are followed by a table providing the complete tabular results of the experiment.

*** These documents were actually first generation xerographic copies from one of a set of a known number of sets produced from a typed original. These copies were reportedly generated well over a year ago at the Pentagon in Washington, D.C.

4.1 Sample Calculations (Data of 11/8)

4.1.1 Method (1)

Linear Measurement of retrieved copy: $9.562 \pm .002$ cm

Savin copy of a first generation test
Xerox copy: $9.527 \pm .002$ cm

Savin copy of a second generation
test Xerox copy: $9.644 \pm .002$ cm

By inspection, n , the number of Xerox generations must lie between the first and second generation.

The calculated fractional value:

$$\underline{n = 1.30 \pm .03}$$

4.1.2 Method (2)

Average horizontal magnification of the
Xerox machine: $1.0118 \pm .0007$

Average horizontal magnification of the
Savin machine: $1.0026 \pm .0008$

Linear Measurement of original: $9.384 \pm .002$ cm

Linear Measurement of retrieved copy: $9.562 \pm .002$ cm

$$\text{Original} \times M_x^n \times M_s = \text{Retrieved}$$

n = Number of Xerox Generations

M_x = Average Horizontal Magnification
of the Xerox machine

M_s = Average Horizontal Magnification
of the Savin machine

$$n = \log \left(\frac{\text{Retrieved}}{M_s \times \text{Original}} \right) / \log M_x$$

$$n = \log \left(\frac{9.562}{1.0026 \times 9.384} \right) / \log 1.0118$$

$$\underline{n = 1.37 \pm .19}$$

4.2 Complete Tabular Results

Average Horizontal Magnification of
the Xerox Machine: $1.0118 \pm .0007$

Average Vertical Magnification of
the Xerox Machine: $1.0052 \pm .0007$

Average Horizontal Magnification of
the Savin Machine: $1.0026 \pm .0008$

Average Vertical Magnification of
the Savin Machine: $1.0026 \pm .0008$
(An assumption; assumed equal to the
horizontal magnification of the
Savin Machine.)

Table 1 follows:

TABLE I: SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

	HORIZONTAL			VERTICAL *		
	10/5	11/4	11/8	10/5	11/4	11/8
nal (Page 48)	9.401 cm	9.389 cm	9.384 cm	X	X	15.482
Copy of 1st eration Xerox	9.524	9.534	9.527	X	X	15.596
ved Copy	9.588	X	9.562	X	X	15.623
Copy of 2nd eration Xerox	X	9.658	9.644	X	X	15.713
ethod 1)	X	X	1.30 + .03	X	X	1.12 + .03
ethod 2)	1.44 + .19	X	1.37 + .19	X	X	1.23 + .35

WEIGHTED AVERAGE n (all methods) = $1.22 \pm .09$ (RMS·Deviation)

* See Experimental Data; 11/8 Figure 1, Page 3.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The results of Section 4 of this report show that the retrieved copies were produced from a xerographic copy that was between a first and second generation; specifically, $n = 1.22 \pm .09$ generations.

Obviously, the true value of "n" is an integer.

The authors feel that the probability of $n = 0, 3, 4$, or larger is negligible.

It is felt that the most likely conclusion of the experiment is that the retrieved copies were produced from a first generation Xerox copy.

In addition, it is felt that the case of $n = 2$ is possible, but highly unlikely.

Several factors were considered in reaching the above conclusions:

The calculated RMS error is not sufficient to allow $n = 1$ or 2 strictly on the basis of experimental measurement error. It may be postulated that some error not taken into account in Section 4 is the cause of this discrepancy.

The authors feel that a non-equilibrium condition at the time of copying the unauthorized documents is the most probable source of error (see Section 8.2). As described in Section 8.2, such an error of magnitude roughly .5 in "n" was discovered, and corrected, during the experiment. Thus, a non-equilibrium error is clearly sufficient to account for experimental error if $n = 1$. On the other hand, it is improbable that this type of error would allow for the large experimental error (.78 in n) if $n = 2$. A severe drying effect (such as that encountered with the 914 fuser in Section 8.2) would only account for .55 of the error if $n = 2$.

In conclusion, the authors postulate that at one of the copying points (most probably Boston), the unauthorized document to be copied was subjected to a wet humid environment prior to copying. The document was then taken into a building (of lower humidity) and copied in a short enough period of elapsed time that the document to be copied did not reach a state of equilibrium with the copier environment. This situation would then easily account for the .22 error for $n = 1$.

6. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

6.1 Equipment

A traveling microscope manufactured by Precision Tool and Instrument Co., Ltd., Thorton Heath, Surrey, England; Type 14, Serial #16735.

A 2" objective lens and a 7X eyepiece were incorporated. The device was calibrated in centimeters with a vernior scale in thousandths of centimeters.

6.2 Method of Measurement

Two observers, D. Erway and J. Rees of Xerox, independently took linear measurements on the text of various documents by means of aligning the crosshairs of the microscope to a preselected section of textual characters.

Unless otherwise indicated, page 48 (and copies thereof) of the original set was used, with measurements being made between the "i" of "dynastic" in the eleventh line and the "i" of "clandestine" in the same line.

Data was taken at the Henrietta Xerox Plant, 1350 Jefferson Road, Rochester, New York on October 5, November 4 and November 8, 1971. Agent Hal Thomas was present at all times on each of these three dates.

7. ASSUMPTIONS

1. The unauthorized copies were generated from a xerographic original document which was either a) the same document used to produce the test samples (improbable) or b) was produced from the same typed original on the same copying machine. If the latter, it is further assumed that the two xerographic originals were generated at roughly the same time under essentially the same environmental conditions.
2. The test samples were run on the same Xerox machine and the same Savin machine as the unauthorized copies. It is further assumed that each of these machines have not been altered in a manner to substantially change their magnification during the period between the generation of the unauthorized and test copies.
3. The retrieved copies represent only one copy generation on the Savin machine.
4. No other copier of any type was used in generating the documents other than the specific Xerox and Savin machines previously noted.

7. ASSUMPTIONS (Cont'd)

5. The only error, other than measurement errors, that can be expected to have a substantial affect on the results is humidity. (Humidity effects are treated in Section 8). Effects such as those resulting from temperature change, dimensional changes of the copiers, variations in the type of copy paper utilized, etc., are all assumed negligible.
6. Dimensional hysteresis effects on paper as a result of humidity change are assumed negligible.

8. HUMIDITY EFFECTS

Changes in humidity are known to be the predominant cause of dimensional changes in ordinary paper. As the humidity increases, paper expands, and as the humidity decreases, paper contracts.

It can be shown that the significance of humidity on the results of this experiment depends on the validity of the following environmental assumptions:

- 1.) The two xerographic originals (one used for unauthorized purposes, the other acting as the test original) were generated from the same typewritten original under the same environmental (humidity) conditions.
- 2.) Measurements in Rochester, on any given day, were taken under the same environmental conditions and all documents were in equilibrium with this environment.
- 3.) Hysteresis effects due to changes in humidity are negligible.
- 4.) Conditions were such that at each copy site (authorized and unauthorized, Xerox and Savin), the copy paper in the copier and the document to be copied were both in equilibrium with the environment immediately surrounding the copier.

Assumption 1) is, in part, identical to one of the basic assumptions of the experiment (see assumption 1, Section 7). Although unconfirmed, it is highly probable that this assumption is correct.

Assumption 2) is obviously correct. Note however that this assumption does not assume or state that the environmental conditions on the three measurement days (10/5, 11/4, 11/8) were necessarily identical.

Assumption 3) is an unconfirmed, but basic assumption of the experiment (see assumption 6, Section 7).

Assumption 4) in certain specific cases may well be incorrect. This assumption will be discussed further.

8.1 Humidity Effects on Experimental Results: All Humidity Assumptions Correct.

It can be shown that humidity effects on the results of the experiment are negligible if all the assumptions hold. This is true regardless of the following: a) the actual humidity at the time of generation, copying, and measurement of both the unauthorized and test documents, b) any intervening humidity conditions undergone by any of the test or unauthorized documents and c) changes in the linear dimensions of the documents with changes in humidity.

Referring to c), although linear dimensions on any document vary with humidity, the ratio of corresponding dimensions on two or more given documents remains constant and is not affected by humidity conditions. For example, this statement implies that the calculated magnification of the Xerox and Savin copiers should remain constant and that the ratioing implied in Method (1), Section 4 is valid.

8.2 Humidity Effects on Experimental Results: Humidity Assumption (4) Does Not Hold for This Case.

Assumption (4) describes specific conditions at the time of making copies on a copying machine, (unauthorized or test copies; Xerox or Savin copiers). It may be assumed that the copy paper is at equilibrium with the copier environment. Then for assumption (4) not to hold, the document to be copied is not in equilibrium with the copier environment; it is either larger (wetter, representing a higher humidity environment) or smaller (drier, representing a lower humidity environment) than it would be if it were allowed time to reach equilibrium.

1. If an unauthorized document to be copied is larger, the value on "n" determined by either method of Section 4, will be larger than the true value.

An example of this case would occur if a document, subjected to a rainy humid environment, were taken into a building with controlled (lower) humidity and immediately copied.

The (larger) document is placed on the copier platen and the image transferred (at some true machine magnification, m), to the copy paper. If the document and copy are now allowed to come to equilibrium in some environment (e.g., the copier environment), the document to be copied will shrink while the copy made from it remains essentially constant in size. A linear dimension of the copy will be larger than if the document to be copied were allowed to reach equilibrium before rather than after the copying process.

If the aforementioned set of circumstances existed during either the Xerox or Savin unauthorized copying process, the final retrieved copy would be larger than expected. Hence the value of "n", determined by either method of Section 4, will be larger than the true value.

It obviously follows that:

2. If an unauthorized document to be copied is smaller, the value of "n", determined by either method of Section 4, will be smaller than the true value.

An example of this case would occur if a document, subjected to a hot very dry environment, were taken into a building with controlled (higher) humidity and immediately copied.

3. It follows that the reverse of statements 1) and 2) is correct if the documents in question are test rather than unauthorized documents. For example, if the test document to be copied is smaller than it would be if allowed to reach equilibrium, then the value of "n", determined by either method of Section 4, will be larger than the true value.

Such a situation actually occurred during the generation of the Xerox machine test copies which were subsequently measured on 10/5/71.

Copies made on a 914 Xerox machine are hot and very dry as they emerge from the copier. This is the result of the copy passing through a radiant heat "fuser" used to melt and fuse the black toner image.

The dry copies were used as input documents which were copied to produce higher order Xerox generations.

Since the documents to be copied were very dry and hence smaller than expected, the value of "n" determined on 10/5 was larger than the correct value. In fact, the apparent value of n was very nearly equal to 2.0. Subsequent test documents measured on 11/4 confirmed this error in the 10/5 documents.

The action of the fuser in severely drying copies which shortly thereafter were used as input documents resulted in an error in the value of "n" of approximately .55.

9. EXPERIMENTAL DATA SHEETS

See attached sheets.

DATA SHEET

D. E. ...

J. ...

KNOWN TEST ... VERTICAL MEASUREMENT

I. MEASUREMENTS MADE ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT (P. 48 - See Fig 1)

MICROSCOPE POSITION		MISC
RIGHT	LEFT	
$26.614 \pm .002$ ^{cm}	$6.322 \pm .002$ ^{cm}	26.614
26.615	6.318	-6.317
26.615	6.317	$20.297 \pm .001$
26.615	6.315	
26.614	6.317	

Note: the $\pm .002$ mm tolerance represents the repeatability of reading the vernier scale.

II MEASUREMENTS MADE ON VARIOUS GENERATIONS OF COPIES MADE FROM ORIGINAL DOCUMENT (p. 48 - See Fig 1)

MICROSCOPE POSITION		MISC
RIGHT	LEFT	CALL
$27.589 \pm .001$ ^{cm}	$7.185 \pm .001$ ^{cm}	$27.589 \pm .001$
27.589	7.188	$-7.186 \pm .001$
27.590	7.187	$20.403 \pm .001$
27.588	7.187	
	7.185	
<hr/>		
$27.567 \pm .001$	$7.117 \pm .001$	$27.566 \pm .001$
27.565	7.116	$-7.116 \pm .001$
27.566	7.117	$20.450 \pm .001$
	7.115	
<hr/>		
$27.468 \pm .001$	$7.018 \pm .001$	$27.468 - 7.018 = 20.450$
28.105	7.702	$28.105 - 7.702 = 20.403$
28.105	7.700	
27.511	7.209	$27.511 - 7.209 = 20.302$
27.511		
<hr/>		
$27.557 \pm .001$	$7.055 \pm .001$	27.557
27.555	7.055	-7.055
		$20.501 \pm .001$

Measurements Reported

3rd GEN

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT (p. 48 - 50)

20.698 ± .001	11.297 ± .001
20.697 ± .001	11.296 ± .001

MISC
CALC
20.698
11.297
9.401

Note: the ± .001 cm tolerance represents the reproducibility of reading the vernier scale

II MEASUREMENTS MADE ON VARIOUS GENERATIONS OF XEROGRAPHIC COPIES MADE FROM ORIGINAL DOCUMENT (p. 48 - See FIG 2)

MICROSCOPE POSITION

	RIGHT	LEFT	MISC CALC
1 st generation	20.737 ± .001 20.737	11.234 ± .001 11.235	20.738 11.235 9.503
2 nd gen	19.489 ± .001 19.487 19.490 19.487	9.914 ± .001 9.915 —	19.488 9.915 9.573
3 rd generation	19.490 ± .001 19.490 19.491	9.860 ± .001 9.857 9.859	19.490 - 9.859 9.631
4 th generation	20.924 ± .001 20.923 20.926	11.233 ± .001 11.232 —	20.924 11.232 9.692
5 th gen	20.259 ± .001 20.257	10.502 ± .001 10.501 ± .001 10.501	20.25 - 10.501 9.754
6 th generation	22.212 22.210 22.211	12.394 ± 12.393 12.392	

Measurement taken between bottom of "i" in
"Will" (of first line) to bottom of first "r" in
"overthrow" (of bottom line) (p. 48)

Measurement made by
Using center of serif of character

VERTICAL

FIG 1 SKETCH SHOWING WHERE DISTANCE
MEASUREMENT WAS MADE FOR SET
OF DATA TO ESTABLISH MAG CHANGES
FROM GENERATION TO GENERATION

----- to overthrow
↑

DATA SHEET

II (cont)

4th
GEN

TIME	TEMP	cm
5.670	5.670 ± .001	cm
26.217	—	—

MISC

26.216 ± .001

23.546 ± .001

5th
GEN

26.891	6.277
76.890	6.279

26.890 ± .001

20.612 ± .001

6th
GEN

26.404	5.737
26.405	5.742
26.402	5.735
26.404	5.740

26.404 ± .001

5.738 ± .001

20.666 ± .001

REPEAT
ORIGINAL

25.157 ± .001 4.857 ± .001 cm

20.300 ± .001

CALCULATIONS

D. ERWAY
J. 11.15

$$M = \frac{n^{\text{th}} \text{ original} - 1}{n^{\text{th}} \text{ original} - 1} = 1 + \frac{n^{\text{th}} \text{ original} - 1}{n^{\text{th}} \text{ original} - 1}$$

$$\frac{1^{\text{st}}}{\text{original}} : \frac{20.407 \pm .002}{20.297 \pm .004} = 1.0052 \pm .0002$$

$$\frac{2^{\text{nd}}}{1^{\text{st}}} : \frac{20.450 \pm .002}{20.403 \pm .002} = 1.0023 \pm .0002$$

$$\frac{3^{\text{rd}}}{2^{\text{nd}}} : \frac{20.501 \pm .002}{20.450 \pm .002} = 1.0025 \pm .0002$$

$$\frac{4^{\text{th}}}{3^{\text{rd}}} : \frac{20.546 \pm .002}{20.501 \pm .002} = 1.0022 \pm .0002$$

$$\frac{5^{\text{th}}}{4^{\text{th}}} : \frac{20.612 \pm .002}{20.546 \pm .002} = 1.0032 \pm .0002 \quad (\text{tolerance - see data})$$

$$\frac{6^{\text{th}}}{5^{\text{th}}} : \frac{20.666 \pm .002}{20.612 \pm .004} = 1.0026 \pm .0003$$

$$M = \frac{A}{B} \quad \ln M = \ln A - \ln B \quad \frac{\Delta M}{M} = \frac{\Delta A}{A} - \frac{\Delta B}{B}$$

Measurements taken between "d" of "d" marked
in elevation line to a line connecting the
line of p. 70.

dynastic aspects ----- its clandestine





FIG 2 SKETCH SHOWING WHERE
HORIZONTAL DISTANCE MEASUREMENT
WAS MADE FOR SET OF DATA TO
ESTABLISH MAG. CHANGES FROM
GENERATION TO GENERATION

II (CON 17)

Repeat:
2nd generation
1st generation

RIGHT	LEFT
21.899 ± .01	12.829 ± .0015
21.900	12.830
22,459	12,954 ± .001
22.459	12.955 ±

21.400
11.970
9.570
22,459
12,954
9.505



CALCULATION

MAF CALC OF 914 MARCH

1st
Original

$$\frac{9.505 \pm .002}{9.401 \pm .002} = 1.01084 \pm .0004$$

2nd
1st

$$\frac{9.573 \pm .002}{9.503 \pm .003} = 1.00736 \pm .0005$$

3rd
2nd

$$\frac{9.631 \pm .003}{9.573 \pm .003} = 1.00605 \pm .0006$$

4th
3rd

$$\frac{9.692 \pm .004}{9.631 \pm .003} = 1.00633 \pm .0007$$

5th
4th

$$\frac{9.758 \pm .004}{9.692 \pm .004} = 1.00689 \pm .0007$$

6th
5th

$$\frac{9.818}{9.758} = 1.00614 \pm .0007$$

ERROR ANALYSIS

DM = A L DA - ...

Travelling microscope - Model 14, Precision
Tool & Instrument Co. Ltd., Thornton, Surrey Eng.
(Model) Type 14, Serial number 16735.


✓ 2" objective, 7x Eyepiece.

✓ Reads in cm on scale with 33 cm travel.

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Two observers (Erving & Rose) independently
line up cross hairs of travelling microscope
on vertical center of ~~the~~ letters. Recorded
readings ~~on~~ at separated points is subtracted.
etc.

See FIG 1-3 for location of letters used
in measurements.




I. MEAS. MADE ON ORIGINAL (155-400000)

<u>RIGHT</u>	<u>LEFT</u>	<u>DIFF</u>
23.10	11.5	11.6
23.180	9.133	9.132
		14.049 ± .0

II. MEAS. MADE ON VARIOUS GEN. OF COPIES MADE FROM ORIG.

	<u>RIGHT</u>	<u>LEFT</u>	
1 st generation	22.541	8.340	22.540
	22.540	8.339	- 8.339
			14.201 ± .0
2 nd generation	23.071	8.776	23.070
	23.069	8.775	- 8.776
			14.294 ± .00



in the same line, and the "it" in the same line.

assigned a value - - - - - deployed, it




FIG 3 SKETCH SHOWING WHERE HORIZONTAL
DISTANCE MEASUREMENT WAS MADE ON
PAGE 55


MEASUREMENT OF 914

original

14.084

$\frac{2^{nd} \text{ gen}}{1^{st} \text{ gen}}$

$$\frac{14.299}{14.201} = 1.00654 \pm .0004$$



KIDNEY TEST DISCUSSION

I MEASUREMENTS MADE ON X-RAY COPIES (p. 42 - 44) FIG.

COPY MADE FROM	LEFT	RIGHT	MISC
ORIGINAL	24.953 24.953 <u>24.953</u>	15.531 ± .001 15.534 15.533 <u>15.533</u>	24.953 ± .001 15.532 ± .001 <u>9.421 ± .001</u>
1 st generation	22.426 22.425 <u>22.425</u>	12.900 12.902 <u>12.901</u>	22.425 12.901 <u>9.524 ± .001</u>
2 nd generation	24.518 24.519 <u>24.519</u>	14.926 14.928 <u>14.927</u>	24.519 ± .001 14.927 ± .001 <u>9.592 ± .001</u>
3 rd generation	(23.263) low weight 23.266 23.270 <u>23.267</u>	13.620 13.619 <u>13.620</u>	23.267 ± .001 13.620 ± .001 <u>9.647 ± .001</u>

CALCULATIONS

MAGNITUDE OF SAVIN MAGNITUDE :

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{\text{Original}} : \frac{9.451 \pm .001}{9.401 \pm .001} = 1.00180 \pm .0001$$

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{\text{1st gen}} : \frac{9.524 \pm .002}{9.503 \pm .002} = 1.00220 \pm .0004$$

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{\text{2nd gen}} : \frac{9.592 \pm .002}{9.573 \pm .003} = 1.00198 \pm .000$$

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{\text{3rd gen}} : \frac{9.647 \pm .004}{9.631 \pm .003} = 1.00166 \pm .0007$$

I. MEASUREMENT MADE ON RETRIEVED COPY (P. 48 - 5-11-62)

23.640 ± 0.01	14.051 ± 0.01
23.642	14.052
23.640	14.052

23.640
14.052
<hr/>
9.588 ± 0.02

At first glance it
looks like Savin copy was
made from 2nd generation
Xerographic copy of the
original document. However
there are some questions in
this conclusion which must
be investigated.

D. Haring
10/5/67

METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

Same as described in [unclear] (p. 1)

COPIES USED AS TEST DOCUMENTS

Set "I" ~~was~~ brought to us on 11/4/71
contained 5 generations (5 copies) ~~produced~~
produced from the original p. 48. They
were marked as follows:

1 A ← copy A (1st copy)


↑
1st generation

(from original Xerox document)

• Copy "E" of each generation
produces the succeeding generation.






Instructions to make these copies
are contained in the typed page 5a attached
(dated 10/18/71)

OBJECTIVE: To obtain multiple generation copies of a specific document over a several day period. This is intended to reduce influence of humidity and temperature on magnification measurements by allowing copy to cool and reabsorb water before it is used to create another copy.

1. Use p. 48 of the original copy as the input document.
 2. Use 914 machine to run 5 copies of the input document.
 3. Mark back of copies A-E and write "first generation" on back of each.
 4. Allow copies to set 24 hours (approximately). Be certain that Copy E is hung so that air can reach all sides of it.
 5. The second day run 5 copies using Copy E of the first generation as the input document.
 6. Mark A through E, "Second Generation" on back of copies.
 7. Repeat steps 4 and 5 and mark appropriately until four generations are completed.
 8. Take all four generations of the "E" copy, as well as the original, and copy on the SAVIN 230.
- 

Box I

10/18



X-RAY DATA (ALL ARE FIRST GEN SAVIN DOC.)

(Note that means made per p. 8, Fig 2 of 10/5/71 data)
SAVIN COPY MADE

FROM	LEFT	RIGHT	
1 st GEN XRY (1E)	21.245 ± .001 ^{cm} 21.746 ± .001 21.246 ± .001	11.710 ± .001 11.712 ± .001 11.713 ± .001	21.2 11.7 9.5
2 nd GEN XRY (2E)	20.273 ± .001 20.272	10.615 ± .001 10.615	20.2 10.6 9.6
3 rd GEN XRY (3E)	21.674 ± .001 21.673	11.907 ± .001 11.906	21.67 11.90 9.76
4 th GEN XRY (4E)	21.939 ± .001 21.940	12.050 ± .002 12.054 ± .002	21.9 12.1 9
	22.110	12.001	9.9 ±

$$\frac{2E}{1E} = \frac{9.658}{9.534} = 1.0130 \pm .0004$$

$$\frac{3E}{2E} = \frac{9.767}{9.658} = 1.0113 \pm .0004$$

$$\frac{4E}{3E} = \frac{9.888}{9.767} = 1.0124 \pm .0005$$

$$\frac{5E}{4E} = \frac{9.991}{9.888} = 1.0104 \pm .0005$$

$$\frac{5E}{1E} = \frac{9.991}{9.534} = 1.04793 \pm .0005$$

$$1.0118 \pm .0004$$

DESCRIPTION OF SECOND
EXP.


11/7/77


D/

COPIES USED AS TEST DOCUMENTS


Set "II a" were examined to see how quickly a copy (IE) reabsorbed water vapor and dried off.

The method of making the test copies is shown on the ~~etc~~ following page





II(b)



XEROX COPY
MADE FROM

copy
Designated

LEFT

RIGHT

cm

10/18

original

1E

20.302 $\pm .001$
20.301

10.793 $\pm .001$
10.793

20.302
10.793

9.509

10/18

1st Gen

2E

22.895 $\pm .001$
22.895

13.300 $\pm .001$
13.300

22.895
13.300

9.595

10/20

2E

19.040 $\pm .001$
19.040

9.421 $\pm .001$
9.422

19.040
9.421

9.619

10/21

2E

20.039 $\pm .001$
20.038

10.418 $\pm .001$
10.417

20.039
10.418

9.621

10/22

2E

22.076 $\pm .001$
22.077

~~12.459~~ 12.459
~~12.409~~ 12.458

22.076
12.458

9.61

10/19

2E

20.225 $\pm .001$
20.224

10.600
10.600

20.224
10.600

9.624

10/19

2A

21.685
21.684

12.059
12.059

21.685
12.059

9.626

generation made on different dates

copy made
cm

MAC

cm

10/18

$$\frac{9.595}{9.509} = 1.00904$$

10/20

$$\frac{9.619}{9.509}$$

10/21

$$\frac{9.621}{9.509} = \frac{1.01177}{1.01177}$$

10/22

$$\frac{9.619}{9.501} =$$

10/19

$$\frac{9.624}{9.509}$$

10/17

$$9.675$$

+ .024^{cm} mm

+ .003^{cm} mm

SAVIN COPY
(this compau-

2E dated 10/20/71
p.4 of 11/4/71 data file

LEFT
19.982
~~19.8~~
19.7

30
31

~~19.9~~
19.983
10.0
9.6

(0

Original docu

LEFT
19.973
19.9

19.973
19.973
9389 ±

(this
m

transmission
cm

$$\frac{\text{Revised copy dimension}}{\text{Original dimension}} = \frac{9.588}{9.401} = 1.01989 \pm 0$$

1.0199 ± .00

from 10/10/01

measured

XRX MAG

SAVING

$$1.0118 \pm .0001$$

$$1.0020 \pm .0001$$

$$(1a)(1b) \approx 1a + b$$

$$1 \text{ XRX } (1 \text{ SAVING}) = 1.012 + .012 = 1.024$$

$$2 \text{ XRX } (1 \text{ SAVING}) = 1.014 + .012 = 1.026$$

from 10/15/01

$$\frac{9.588}{9.389} = 1.0212$$

(meaningless,
disregard)

MEAS MADE ON XEROX COPY 1A (dated 18 Oct 71)

<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	
20.434	10.926	20.433
20.432	10.925	<u>10.925</u>
		9.508

(agrees with previous measurement
on copy 1E)
page 8

MEAS. MADE ON SAVIN COPY 3E

<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	
20.514	10.777	20.514
20.513	10.777	<u>10.777</u>
		9.737

?
Xerox 3E

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{1E} = \frac{9.534 \pm .002}{9.509 \pm .002} = 1.0026 \pm .0004$$

$$\frac{\text{Savin Copy}}{2E} = \frac{9.658 \pm .002}{9.621 \pm .002} = 1.0038 \pm .0002$$

$$\frac{9.658}{9.624} = 1.0035$$

$$\frac{\text{Savin}}{3E} = \frac{9.767}{9.737} = \cancel{1.0030} 1.0031 \pm .0001$$

10/18

2

Set II C



(24.1.25)

~~full~~

10/25

(2nd gen)
of all
(1A-4.15)

5
6

that the above
data was available

[Signature]

a) Meas made horizontally - (see FIG 2 of 10/5/71 data)

12:53 PM

Left	Right	
21.564 ^{cm} $\pm .001$	12.013	→ apparent center of vert stroke
21.562	12.001	→ " " of dot
	12.000	

b) Repeat of above (after removing paper & reinsert)

1:00 PM

Left	Right	
21.720	12.151	21.7
21.721	12.158	12.15
		<hr/> 9.56

c) Meas made ~~horizontally~~ (Repeat at end of afternoon)

4:30 PM

Left	Right	
20.549 $\pm .002$	10.986	20.547
20.546	10.987	10.987
20.542	10.9837927	<hr/> 9.550
20.545	10.966	

d) Vertical Meas (see Fig 2 of 10/5/71 data)

1:15 PM

Left	Right	
23.378	7.753	23.374
23.375	7.754	7.753
23.376	7.753	<hr/> 15.623

II. Vertebral Measurements

a) Horizontal (see Fig. 1 12/5/51 date)

	LEFT	RIGHT	
From 1 st generation	24.034 ± .001 ^{cm}	14.508 ± .001 ^{cm}	24.034
Xerox copy (1E)	24.033	14.506	14.507
			<hr/> 7.527
From 2 nd generation	21.291 ± .001 ^{cm}	11.650 ^{cm}	21.291
Xerox copy (2E)	21.291	11.646 ± .001 ^{cm}	11.647
		11.648	<hr/> 9.644
		11.647	

b. Vert. Meas. (see Fig. 1 this date)

	LEFT	RIGHT	
From 1 st gen.	22.763	7.167	22.764
Xerox copy (1E)	22.765	7.168	7.168
			<hr/> 15.596
From 2 nd	21.791	6.077	
	22 21.792	6.079	21.791
			6.078
			<hr/> 15.713

(12th line)

... its clandestine

FIG 1 Sketch Showing where vertical
distance measurement was made for
set of data taken 11/2/71

Measurement made between bottom

11/8/71 Xenon copy
v.a.o.

$$\frac{9.644}{9.527}$$

$$\frac{\text{Savien copy of 1C}}{\text{Savien copy of 2E}} = 1.0123 \pm .0004$$

~~11/8~~

11/4/71

$$\frac{9.658}{9.534}$$

$$= 1.0130 \pm .0004$$

a) Horizontal (See Fig 2 of 10/5/71 data)

<u>LEFT</u>	<u>RIGHT</u>
-------------	--------------

18.507 ± 0.001 cm

9.122

18.507

10.000

9.122

18.507	
- 9.122	cm
9.384	mm

b) Vertical Plane (See Fig 1, 10/5/71 data)

<u>LEFT</u>	<u>RIGHT</u>
-------------	--------------

19.850

4.368

19.850

19.851

4.368

19.850	
- 4.368	cm
15.482	mm

DOCUMENT	HORIZONTAL			VERTICAL		
	10/5	11/4	11/8	7/5	7/4	1/8
ORIGINAL	9.401 ^{cm} $\Delta = -.012$	9.389 ^{cm} $\Delta = -.005$	9.384 ^{cm} $\Delta = -.007$	X	X	15.482 ^{cm}
SAVIN COPY OF 1 st GEN XRY	9.524 $\Delta = +.010$	9.534 $\Delta = -.007$	9.527 $\Delta = +.003$	X	X	15.596
REPRODUCED COPY	9.588	X	9.563 $\Delta = -.025$	X	X	15.623
SAVIN COPY OF 2 nd GEN XRY	note 1	9.658 $\Delta = -.014$	9.644	X	X	15.713

note 1: By multiplying 1st gen dimension by average horizontal magnification, the calculated 2nd gen would be 9.636^{cm} (The ^{actual} measurement made on this date was excluded because of the way in which the test copies were made, making the data beyond the 1st column.)

FBI

Date: 1/19/72

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____

(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-7406) (ATTN: DOMINTEL)
 FROM : SAC, BOSTON (65-5236)
 SUBJECT: MCLEK
 SIO

Enclosed herewith for the Bureau and Los Angeles are copies of a Petition of Intervenor-Appellant for Clarification, filed by attorneys for MIKE GRAVEL, United States Senator, in the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit (Boston, Mass.) in the case of the United States versus JOHN DOE (Cases No. 71-1331 and 71-1332) and a copy of an order of the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit dated 1/18/72 also in cases number 71-1331 and 71-1332 entitled, United States of America versus MIKE GRAVEL, United States Senator, Intervenor, Appellant.

Los Angeles is requested to obtain from Departmental Attorney DAVID NISSEN and interpretation of this decision and its effect on the Grand Jury activity at Boston.

REC-32

2-Bureau (Encs. 3) (RM)
 2-Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
 1-Boston
 REB/dn
 (5)

1 tickler retained 6/10/72

JAN 21 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Tamm	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Wilkins	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 016 LA CODE

724 PM URGENT 1-20-72 JJS

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTN: D I D

BOSTON (65-5236)

NEW YORK (65-25641)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 3 PAGES

MC LEK, SIO.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], INTERVIEWED JANUARY TWENTY INSTANT AT HER RESIDENCE. STATES SHE HAS [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SHE WAS FORMERLY EMPLOYED ON A MAGAZINE WITH [REDACTED] WHO WAS SUBSEQUENTLY EMPLOYED AT RAND CORPORATION, SANTA MONICA.

[REDACTED] BEFRIENDED HER [REDACTED] AND

THROUGH [REDACTED] SHE MET OTHER EMPLOYEES OF RAND, ONE OF WHOM

WAS [REDACTED] NOW PROFESSOR AT UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN AND CURRENT RAND CONSULTANT. AT DINNER PARTY ATTENDED BY

[REDACTED] SHE MET ANTHONY RUSSO SEVERAL YEARS AGO.

SHE HAS SEEN RUSSO PERIODICALLY SINCE ON PURELY SOCIAL BASIS, MAINLY WHEN RUSSO WOULD STOP AT HER HOME TO VISIT WITH HER AND FAMILY.

END PAGE ONE

97 JAN 23 1972
data deleted

JAN 20 1972
TELETYPE

CFB
Bridgman

1

REC-50 65-74060-2987

7 JAN 25 1972

3/15

LA 105-27952

PAGE TWO

[REDACTED] HAS THREE GROWN CHILDREN CURRENTLY AWAY AT COLLEGE AND A NINE YEAR OLD SON LIVING AT HOME. STATES HER HOUSE IS CONSTANTLY FILLED WITH HER CHILDREN'S FRIENDS.

DURING RECENT HOLIDAYS RUSSO BROUGHT HIS [REDACTED] [REDACTED] TO HER HOME TO MEET HER FAMILY. SHE RECALLS SEEING RUSSO DURING CHRISTMAS HOLIDAYS, DECEMBER NINETEEN SEVENTY AND DID NOT SEE HIM AGAIN UNTIL AFTER PUBLICATION OF PENTAGON PAPERS IN "NEW YORK TIMES" IN JUNE NINETEEN SEVENTY ONE. SHE FIRST BECAME AWARE OF RUSSO'S INVOLVEMENT WHEN SHE READ ARTICLE IN "TIME" MAGAZINE, WHICH MENTIONED RUSSO'S INVOLVEMENT. STATES RUSSO COMPLAINED OF HARRASMENT FROM AUTHORITIES AND CURIOSITY SEEKERS FOLLOWING PUBLICATION OF PENTAGON PAPERS AND ON HIS VISITS TO HER HOME SHE ATTEMPTS TO OFFER HIM A HAVEN AND THERE IS NO DISCUSSION OF HIS INVOLVEMENT IN THESE MATTERS. SHE HAS NEVER LEARNED ANYTHING CONCERNING RUSSO'S ROLE IN THE PUBLICATION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS NOR HAS SHE ANY KNOWLEDGE WHATSOEVER CONCERNING THE TAKING, COPYING OR DISTRIBUTION OF THAT MATERIAL.

SHE STATES SHE HAS MET DANIEL ELLSBERG ON ONLY ONE OCCASION AT A FUND RAISING AFFAIR IN A PRIVATE HOME IN BEVERLY HILLS, LOCATION AND DATE UNRECALLED. SHE DID NOT KNOW ELLSBURG WHEN HE WAS EMPLOYED AT RAND.

END PAGE TWO

LA 105-27952

PAGE THREE

ADMINISTRATIVE..... REFERENCE BUREAU TELETYPE JANUARY
EIGHTEEN LAST.

[REDACTED] APPEARED SOMEWHAT DISORIENTED AND NAIVE. [REDACTED]
SHE INDICATED SHE HAS BEEN VERY LONELY SINCE THE DEATH OF HER
HUSBAND AND ATTEMPTS TO FILL THIS VOID BY MAKING HER HOME A PLACE
WHERE HER CHILDREN CAN BRING THEIR FRIENDS. SHE STATES WHEN THE
CHILDREN ARE HOME THE HOUSE IS CONSTANTLY FILLED WITH PEOPLE,
RUSSO BEING JUST ONE OF MANY. IT WAS THE OPINION OF INTERVIEWING
AGENTS [REDACTED] WAS TRUTHFUL AND CAN OFFER NO INFORMATION OF
PERTINENCE TO THIS INVESTIGATION.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

LRS FBI WASHDC

NR 025 LA CODE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

615 PM URGENT 1-24-72 RWM

JAN 24 1972

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION
TELETYPE

BOSTON (65-5236)

NEW YORK (65-25641)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952) 1P.

Mr. Felt	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller	ES
Mr. Callahan	ES
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. Ponder	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Winkert	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

MC LEX, SIO.

DAVID R. NISSEN, DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY, LOS ANGELES, REVIEWED
COPY OF THE ORDER OF U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, FIRST CIRCUIT, DATED
JANUARY EIGHTEEN LAST, IN CASE ENTITLED U.S. VERSUS MIKE GRAVEL.
NISSEN STATED NET EFFECT OF ORDER IS TO PREVENT GOVERNMENT FROM
QUESTIONING GRAVEL OR ANY OF HIS AIDES ABOUT THE PENTAGON PAPERS,
SUCH INFORMATION LEARNED DURING OR IN THE COURSE OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT.
IT ALSO PRECLUDES THE GOVERNMENT FROM QUESTIONING ANYONE ELSE ABOUT
MOTIVES IN DISCLOSING PAPERS. THE OPINION DOES NOT PRECLUDE
GRAVEL'S ~~DISCLOSING PAPERS~~ ~~THE OPINION DOES NOT PRECLUDE~~
GOVERNMENT FROM QUESTIONING ANY OTHER WITNESSES ABOUT UNLAWFUL
PROCUREMENT OR DISTRIBUTION OF THE PENTAGON PAPERS. THE GOVERNMENT
IS FREE TO QUESTION [REDACTED] ABOUT ALL MATTERS OCCURRING PRIOR
TO HIS EMPLOYMENT BY GRAVEL. HE ADVISED HE INTENDS TO PROCEED WITH
THE GRAND JURY IN BOSTON WHEN THE STAY EXPIRES.

ADMINISTRATIVE. REFERENCE BOSTON AIRTEL JANUARY NINETEEN
LAST ENCLOSING COPY OF ABOVE MENTIONED ORDER OF THE U.S. COURT
OF APPEALS FOR INTERPRETATION BY NISSEN.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END 97 JAN 25 1972
KPT FBI WASH

NR 023 NY CODE

1250 AM 1-21-72

PM NITEL 1-20-72 BGW

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 21 1972

TELETYPE

TO DIRECTOR (ATTN: DID) (65-74060)

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WFO(65-11613)

FROM NEW YORK (65-25641) 2P

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Workart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

REC-50

MC LEK-SIO.

JANUARY NINETEEN, SEVENTYTWO ISSUE OF "NORTH SHORE PENNY SAVER AND ADVERTISER" CARRIED AN ADVERTISEMENT INDICATING THAT THE TOPIC "THE ESCALATED AIR WAR" -- "THE NEWEST DECEPTION OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE" WOULD BE DISCUSSED BY DR. DANIEL ELLSBERG AND **RED BRANFMAN**, JANUARY THIRTY, SEVENTYTWO AT EIGHT PM AT THE GREAT NECK SOUTH SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL, GREAT NECK, NY. THE APPEARANCE OF ELLSBERG AND **BRANFMAN** IS BEING SPONSORED BY CO-OP FOR PEACE, BOX TWO SEVEN FOUR, GREAT NECK, NY.

REC-60 65-1060-2989

ON NOVEMBER FIFTEEN, SEVENTYONE A SOURCE, WHO HAS FURNISHED RELIABLE INFORMATION IN THE PAST, MADE AVAILABLE A CIRCULAR PUBLISHED BY THE MOVEMENT SPEAKERS (MS)), ROOM SIX ZERO TWO, NINE ONE SEVEN FIFTEEN STREET, NW, WASHINGTON, DC, WHICH CIRCULAR CONTAINED A LIST OF INDIVIDUALS AVAILABLE THROUGH MS. ONE OF THE INDIVIDUALS NAMED WAS **RED BRANFMAN**, WHO WAS DESCRIBED AS DIRECTOR OF PROJECT AIR WAR, A NINE PERSON RESEARCH GROUP WHICH HAS MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE EXPANDING AIR WAR AND

END PAGE ONE

97 JAN 28 1972

JAN 25 1972

Laos

gaur

PAGE TWO

AUTOMATED BATTLE FIELDS IN SOUTHEAST ASIA AND MORE DOCUMENTATION OF THE FACT THAT THE WAR IS NOT WINDING DOWN THAN ANY GROUP EXCEPT THE PENTAGON. IT ALSO NOTED **BRANEMAN** SPENT FOUR YEARS IN LAOS FROM NINETEEN SIXTYSEVEN THROUGH NINETEEN SEVENTYONE AND THAT HIS EXPERIENCE ENABLES HIM TO DESCRIBE GRAPHICALLY THE RESULTS OF UNITED STATES BOMBING IN THAT AREA.

THE MOVEMENT SPEAKERS BUREAU HAS BEEN PUBLICLY DESCRIBED AS BEING ORGANIZED IN NYC BY ABBY HOFFMAN, A CONVICTED CHICAGO SEVEN ANTI RIOT LAW DEFENDANT FRO BOOKING RADICAL SPEAKERS ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES.

ADMINISTRATIVE

THE SOURCE REFERRED TO ABOVE IS **[REDACTED]**

NYO WILL AFFORD APPROPRIATE COVERAGE TO THIS APPEARANCE BY ELLSBERG AND ADVISE BUREAU AND INTERESTED OFFICES.

NYO INDICES CONTAIN NO INFORMATION CONCERNING CO-98 ⁰⁷

FOR PEACE.

END

WJM FBI WA

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 21 1972
TELETYPE

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Evans	
Mr. Gale	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Sullivan	
Mr. Tavel	
Mr. Trotter	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

NR014 BS CODE

8:13 PM URGENT 1-21-72 MCC

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WFO (YTA11613)

FROM BOSTON (65-5236)

ATTN: DOMINTEL

CDB/Alan
Br...

REC-50

MCLEK - SIO.

DEPARTMENTAL ATTORNEY RICHARD J. BARRY ADVISED THIS DATE HE WAS SCHEDULED TO GIVE ORAL ARGUMENTS BEFORE THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, FIRST CIRCUIT, BOSTON, MASS., ON THE MOTION OF CHARLES R. NESSON, DEFENSE ATTORNEY FOR DANIEL ELLSBERG, THAT TESTIMONY GIVEN BEFORE THE FEDERAL GRAND JURY, BOSTON, COULD NOT BE USED IN THE CASE AGAINST ELLSBERG AT LOS ANGELES SINCE HE HAD ALREADY BEEN INDICATED THERE.

THAT MOTION WAS FILED BY NESSON ON JAN. NINETEEN, LAST. BARRY STATED THAT AFTER HEARING ORAL ARGUMENTS THIS DATE BOTH PARTIES WERE ORDERED TO SUBMIT BRIEFS TO THE USCA BY MON., JAN. TWENTYFOUR NEXT, AND HE FELT THAT AN OPINION ON THIS MATTER WOULD BE FORTHCOMING NEXT WEEK.

END PAGE ONE

REC-50 *65-74060-2990*

7 JAN 25 1972

File 5-78

97 JAN 1972

PAGE TWO

Wash. D.C.
ADMINISTRATIVE:

Boston Mass
WASHINGTON FIELD TEL, DEC. TWENTYEIGHT, LAST, SETS FORTH INFORMATION FROM [REDACTED] TO EFFECT THAT [REDACTED] CLAIMS THAT PENTAGON PAPERS (ONE) WERE DELIVERED TO CHRISTIAN SCIENCE MONITOR AFTER WORKING HOURS, (TWO) WERE IN CARTONS WITH A RESUME BY ELLSBERG BELIEVED TO BE A CERTIFICATION OF AUTHENTICITY, (THREE) LAID AROUND FOR A FEW HOURS PRIOR TO REMOVAL, AND (FOUR) THE EDITOR OF MONITOR CONTACTED BOSTON GLOBE REGARDING THE DOCUMENTS. [REDACTED]

WASHINGTON FIELD INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING POSSIBLE INTERVIEW WITH [REDACTED] WERE THAT IT BE HANDLED WITHOUT DISCLOSING [REDACTED] NAME AND WITHOUT [REDACTED] BECOMING AWARE THAT THE CONVERSATION HAD BEEN GIVEN TO GOVERNMENT AUTHORITIES.

BOSTON COMPLIED WITH ABOVE, AND DURING INTERVIEW [REDACTED] STATED HE HAS NO KNOWLEDGE OF TIME OR MANNER IN WHICH A PORTION OF PENTAGON PAPERS WERE DELIVERED TO MONITOR. HA DID NOT KNOW OF CONTAINER USED OR
END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

RECIPIENT AT MONITOR . HE COULD NOT PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING ACTIONS TAKEN BY MONITOR OFFICIALS IN CONNECTION WITH RECEIPT OF MATERIALS .

IT IS NOTED THAT [REDACTED] WAS RECEPTIVE , COOPERATIVE , APPEARED TRUTHFUL AND GENUINELY INTERESTED IN BEING OF ASSISTANCE . HE AGREED TO MAINTAIN THE NATURE OF CONTACT IN CONFIDENCE . HOWEVER , DUE TO THE INCONSISTENCIES WASHINGTON FIELD SHOULD RECONTACT [REDACTED] FOR PURPOSE OF OBTAINING FROM HIM ADDITIONAL DETAILS OF THE CONVERSATION OR HIS PERMISSION TO CONFRONT [REDACTED] WITH THE PRIOR CONVERSATION . IT IS NOTED THAT THE FATHER OF [REDACTED] IS A RETIRED LIEUTENANT OF THE [REDACTED] . DURING RECONTACT WITH [REDACTED] , WASHINGTON FIELD ALSO ATTEMPTED TO DETERMINE IDENTITY OF ANY OTHER INDIVIDUALS AWARE OF REMARKS MADE BY [REDACTED] .

END

NR 015 BA CODED

7:58 PM URGENT 1-20-72 HJS

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

FROM BALTIMORE (65-3896) P

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 20 1972

TELETYPE

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fender	_____
Mr. Fox	_____
Mr. Wickert	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

MC LEK; SIO; SUMMARY TELETYPE

AT TWO FIFTY AM, JUNE THIRTY, NINETEEN SEVENTYONE, [REDACTED]
TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED THE WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND ADVISED HE WAS THE [REDACTED], [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] STATED THAT A MEETING OF VARIOUS NEWS
MEDIA REPRESENTATIVES WAS THEN IN PROGRESS AT THE "POST" AND THESE
REPRESENTATIVES WERE DUPLICATING COPIES OF THE SECRET "PENTAGON PAPERS".
[REDACTED] AGREED TO FURNISH THE FBI WITH MORE SPECIFIC INFORMATION LATER
THAT MORNING.

[REDACTED] UPON RECONTACT ON JUNE THIRTY, IDENTIFIED [REDACTED]
NEWS COLUMNIST WITH THE "WASHINGTON POST" AS THE INDIVIDUAL IN CHARGE
OF THE DUPLICATING, AND STATED [REDACTED] WAS BEING AIDED BY FOUR OTHER
INDIVIDUALS, NOT FURTHER IDENTIFIED. REPRESENTATIVES OF THE "WASHINGTON
STAR", "BOSTON NEWS" AND "MIAMI NEWS" WERE PRESENT AWAITING RECEIPT
OF THEIR COPIES. [REDACTED], SUBSEQUENT TO THE COMPLETION OF THE DUPLICA-
TING, RETRIEVED FIVE PAGES OF THE DUPLICATED MATERIAL.

ON AUGUST TEN, SEVENTYONE, [REDACTED] WAS DISPLAYED A PHOTOGRAPH OF
[REDACTED], "WASHINGTON POST" REPORTER AND STATED [REDACTED] WAS
NOT PRESENT WHEN THE "PENTAGON PAPERS" WERE DUPLICATED. ADDITIONALLY

END PAGE ONE

97 JAN 23 1972

cc to [REDACTED] 150
Adm. data deleted

7 JAN 25 1972

PAGE TWO

BA 65-3896

ADVISED THAT HE COULD NOT IDENTIFY THE MEMBERS OF THE WASHINGTON, BOSTON OR MIAMI NEWSPAPERS WHO WERE PRESENT.

ON AUGUST EIGHTEEN, SEVENTYONE, [REDACTED] WAS RECONTACTED AND DISPLAYED PHOTOGRAPHS OF SIXTEEN NEWSPAPER REPORTERS FROM NEWSPAPERS IN BOSTON, MIAMI, CHICAGO AND WASHINGTON. [REDACTED] WAS UNABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY AS PRESENT AT THE POST ON JUNE THIRTY. HE DID STATE THAT [REDACTED],^M "BOSTON GLOBE" CORRESPONDENT, CLOSELY RESEMBLED ONE OF THE MEN HE OBSERVED DUPLICATING THE PAPERS.

ON DECEMBER TWENTYTHREE, SEVENTYONE, [REDACTED] IDENTIFIED THE FOUR INDIVIDUALS PRESENT AT THE "POST", WHEN THE "PENTAGON PAPERS" WERE DUPLICATED, AS FOLLOWS: (FIRST NAME UNKNOWN) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] ALL "POST" EMPLOYEES. [REDACTED]
EXPLAINED THAT HE PREVIOUSLY WITHHELD THE ABOVE INFORMATION FOR FEAR THAT HIS IDENTITY WOULD BECOME KNOWN AS THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION. ADDITIONALLY HE ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] IS A PERSONAL FRIEND OF [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], AND HIS POSITION WITH THE POST WOULD BE JEOPARDIZED.

END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

BA 65-3896

ON JANUARY SEVENTEEN, SEVENTYTWO, [REDACTED] WAS AGAIN CONTACTED AND STATED THAT HE ERRONEOUSLY IDENTIFIED NEWS COLUMNIST [REDACTED] AS THE INDIVIDUAL IN CHARGE OF THE DUPLICATING OF THE PAPERS. IN FACT, IT WAS [REDACTED] WITH THE "POST", WHO WAS THE RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL. [REDACTED] RECENTLY OBSERVED [REDACTED] AND REQUESTED OF A FELLOW EMPLOYEE WHAT [REDACTED] FULL IDENTITY WAS. [REDACTED] ADDITIONALLY FURTHER IDENTIFIED THE FOUR INDIVIDUALS PRESENT WITH [REDACTED] ON JUNE THIRTY, AS FOLLOWS: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [REDACTED] WAS THE INDIVIDUAL PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] STATED THAT ABOVE INDIVIDUALS ARE ALL ASSIGNED TO THE ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT AT THE "POST".

ADMINISTRATIVE:

REFERENCES: BALTIMORE AIRTELS TO THE BUREAU, JANUARY SEVEN AND NINETEEN, SEVENTYTWO.

FOR INFORMATION OF BUREAU, RE AIRTEL, JANUARY NINETEEN, ERRONEOUSLY REFLECTED MOST RECENT CONTACT WITH [REDACTED] AS JANUARY SEVEN. HE WAS INTERVIEWED ON JANUARY SEVENTEEN.

END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR

BA 65-3896

BALTIMORE IS LIMITING ANY ADDITIONAL CONTACT WITH [REDACTED] TO SECURE ADDRESSES AND FURTHER IDENTIFYING DATA RE [REDACTED] N [REDACTED] IN VIEW OF THE RELUCTANCE OF [REDACTED] TO INITIALLY IDENTIFY THE FOUR "POST" EMPLOYEES AS BEING PRESENT WHEN THE PAPERS WERE DUPLICATED, AND HIS ERRONEOUS IDENTIFICATION OF [REDACTED] AS THE INDIVIDUAL IN CHARGE OF THE DUPLICATING, BALTIMORE IS OF THE OPINION THAT [REDACTED] RELIABILITY IS DEFINATELY QUESTIONABLE, AT BEST.

END

RSP FBI WSH DC

Jacksonville Texas
January 5, 1972.

Hon. John Tower
U. S. Senate
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Tower:

May I call your attention to the News broadcast by N.B.C. on January 4th, 5:30 report whereby the N.B.C. News set David Brinkley up as judge, jury and defender of Daniel Ellsberg who has acknowledged stealing classified Pentagon papers.

Mr. Brinkley stated that the classified papers belonged to the people in the first place, prepared by people paid by the people, copied on typewriters paid for by the people and he could see nothing wrong when Mr. Ellsberg made them public. He realize that Mr. Brinkley is just a mouthpiece for the directors of the slanted N.B.C. news who apparently are attempting to whitewash Mr. Ellsberg in the minds of millions of Americans before the courts can get a chance to hear the case. This is wrong. Someone should be asked to explain why they abuse the privilege of reporting the news. The airways that Mr. Brinkley also belongs to the people and the people should take steps to eliminate this abuse.

On the Today show of this date we were fed more of the same. Jack Anderson stated he was publishing classified documents and would continue to publish them.

It seems there is a well planned movement to discredit our government, our government leaders and the courts of our land. I believe this movement is deeper than the N.B.C. news department and as an American, tax payer, and one that is concerned, respectfully ask that you look into this matter and if necessary take the necessary steps to correct it.

Very truly yours

65-74060-
NOT RECORDED
201 JAN 20 1972

cc: J. Edgar Hoover, FBI.
David Brinkley, NBC News

1503 South Jackson Street
Jacksonville, Texas 75766

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Felt
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Sullivan
Mr. Tavel
Mr. Trotter
Mr. Tele. Room
Mr. Holmes
Miss Gandy

37 JAN 10 1972
EXP. PROC.
6441-1842-16-2

No act. copy
JJH

18
11 JAN 10 1972

1-17-72
54 JAN 25 1972

COPIES DESTROYED

Airtel

1/25/72

To: SACS, Boston (65-5236)
San Francisco (65-6076)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK - SIO

ReBSairtel 9/17/71 and SFteletype 9/16/71.

Referenced Boston airtel identified [REDACTED]

Referenced San Francisco teletype identified [REDACTED]

Prior investigation has determined above-listed [REDACTED] numbers were called from telephone number [REDACTED] subscribed to by Daniel Ellsberg, 10 Hilliard Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Bureau records do not indicate [REDACTED] have been further identified and consideration given to interviewing them relative to their knowledge of Daniel Ellsberg and his activities.

In the event such identification has already been effected, recipients should advise Bureau, identifying communication containing such data.

EX-115


REC-60

65-74060-2993

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

16 JAN 25 1972

JRH:mcm (8)



SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

ERH

JRH

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Dalbey _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Ponder _____
Mr. Bates _____
Mr. Waik _____
Mr. Walters _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

97 JAN 26 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel to Boston and San Francisco
RE: MC LEK
65-74060

If these individuals have not been further identified to date, investigation should be immediately initiated to do so, and Bureau furnished results thereof, accompanied by recommendation as to desirability of interviews.

NOTE:

[REDACTED] telephone numbers called from residence telephone of Daniel Ellsberg reveals three individuals identified as subscribers to these telephone numbers have apparently not been further identified and consideration given to their interviews. Investigation requested above is necessary to complete our identification of persons telephonically contacted from Ellsberg's residence.

NR NY PLAIN

1205 AM 1-22-72

NITEL 01-21-72 KEH

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTENTION DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

BOSTON (65-5236)

LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM NEW YORK (65-25641)

2P

MC LEK - SIO.

Mr. Tolson	
Mr. Felt	
Mr. Rosen	
Mr. Mohr	
Mr. Bishop	
Mr. Miller, ES	✓
Mr. Callahan	
Mr. Casper	
Mr. Conrad	
Mr. Dalbey	
Mr. Cleveland	
Mr. DeLoach	
Mr. Bates	
Mr. Walters	
Mr. V. L.	
Mr. Soyars	
Tele. Room	
Miss Holmes	
Miss Gandy	

REC-60

EDB
CD [Signature]

ON JANUARY TWO ZERO, SEVEN TWO, DANIEL ELLSBERG SPOKE TO AN AUDIENCE OF ABOUT FOUR HUNDRED PEOPLE ATTENDING A DINNER HELD AT THE BILTMORE HOTEL, NEW YORK CITY (NYC) TO HONOR RUTH GAGE COLBY, A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL PEACE ACTION COALITION (NPAC), FOR HER FIFTY YEARS SERVICE TO THE CAUSE OF PEACE.

SOURCES WHO HAVE FURNISHED RELIABLE INFORMATION IN THE PAST, ADVISED THAT THE SPEECH OF DOCTOR ELLSBERG WAS BASICALLY AN ANTI - WAR TYPE SPEECH. HE MADE NO STATEMENTS RELATIVE TO HIS OBTAINING OR DISTRIBUTING THE PENTAGON PAPERS. HE DID STATE THAT "THE PENTAGON PAPERS HAD DONE THEIR THING AND NOW THE ANDERSON PAPERS ARE DOING THEIRS". HE ALSO NOTED THAT THE GOVERNMENT WAS WINDING DOWN THE WAR IN THE SAME WAY THAT HIS INDICTMENT WAS BEING WOUND DOWN.

EX-115
REC-60

65-74060-2994

7 JAN 26 1972

END PAGE ONE

File 5- [Signature]

97 JAN 20 1972

PAGE TWO

THE NPAC WAS FOUNDED IN SEVEN ZERO BY MEMBERS OF THE
SOCIALIST WORKERS PARTY (SWP). ITS BASIC OBJECTION IS TO UNITE
MASSES OF PEOPLE INCLUDING LABOR UNIONS, SOLDIERS AND THE BLACK
COMMUNITY IN THE STRUGGLE TO END UNITED STATES INTERVENTION
IN SOUTHEAST ASIA, THROUGH ORDERLY AND PEACEFUL TACTICS. THE
SWP HAS BEEN DESIGNATED PURSUANT TO EXECUTIVE ORDER ONE ZERO
FOUR FIVE ZERO.

ADMINISTRATIVE-----

REFERENCE NEW YORK TELETYPE JANUARY ONE FOUR, SEVEN TWO.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

APPROPRIATE LETTERHEAD MEMORANDUM WILL BE SUBMITTED BY
THE NEW YORK OFFICE.

E N D

-(((19

AKK FOR THREE PLS

WJM FBI WA

FOR THREE TELS

CODE

TELETYPE

NITEL

1/21/72

TO SACS BOSTON (65-5236)
LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner
1 - Mr. H. J. Morgan

FROM DIRECTOR FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK - SIO.

ALTHOUGH BUREAU IS AWARE DAILY SUMMARY TELETYPES UNNECESSARY IN SOME INSTANCES, PREVIOUSLY ISSUED INSTRUCTIONS ARE BEING RESTATED IN VIEW OF NONCOMPLIANCE BY SOME OFFICES. ALL LEADS IN THIS CASE TO BE SET OUT BY TELETYPE, TO BE HANDLED EXPEDITIOUSLY AND A SUMMARY TELETYPE SUBMITTED IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER TO BUREAU, BOSTON AND LOS ANGELES. OFFICES COVERING LEAD TO IMMEDIATELY THEREAFTER SUBMIT DETAILS BY INVESTIGATIVE REPORT. ABOVE INSTRUCTIONS TO BE ISSUED AT TIME LEAD SET OUT.

MAIL COPIES SENT TO BALTIMORE, NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO AND

WFO.

1 - BALTIMORE (65-3896)
1 - NEW YORK (65-25641)
1 - SAN FRANCISCO (65-6076)
1 - WFO (65-11613)

HJM:mcm (8)

NOTE:

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Dalbey _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Ponder _____
Mr. Bates _____
Mr. Waikart _____
Mr. Walters _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

Review of investigation conducted by recipients in immediate past has indicated divergence from original instructions set out concerning reporting of investigation by summary teletype immediately followed by report. Necessary at this time to reiterate instructions for timely dissemination of investigative results.

51 JAN 31 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ST-103

REC-4

JAN 25 1972

EM

CR

ST-103

FBI

Date: 1/19/72

copy of [unclear]
C. [unclear]
Elm [unclear]

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

AIR MAIL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (105-27952) (P)
SUBJECT: MCLEK
SIO

Be [unclear]

Re Buairtel, 1/14/72, and Los Angeles airtel, 1/11/72, with enclosures.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies each of two letters, dated 5/13/68, from [redacted] President, Rand Corporation.

On 1/18/72, [redacted] Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California, advised these two letters are those to which he referred in his memorandum dated 12/30/71. [redacted] also advised he has no recollection of having seen any letter requesting the services of the individuals named in the letters and surmises the requests for help must have been generated by [redacted] or through his office.

After reviewing the contents of the letters, Los Angeles has concluded they do not fall within the guidelines set forth for exhibits in previous Bureau instructions and Los Angeles will not make exhibits of enclosures.

ENCLOSURE

REC-51

65-74060-2996

- 2 - Bureau (Enc. 4) (RM)
- 1 - Los Angeles

GSB/dmb
(3)

1cc each let dated 5-13-68
615D Martin prep. 1-24-72
LEB/rep

36
1 JAN 22 1972
SPECL. INV. OFFICE

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Airtel

1/25/72

X-117

To: SAC, Los Angeles (105-27952)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner
1 - Mr. L. E. Belanger


From: Director, FBI (65-74060) — 2996

MC LKK - SIO

ReLAairtel 1/19/72.

Los Angeles is instructed to handle letters enclosed with referenced airtel as exhibits in this case. Los Angeles will note in this connection that although the letters in question are not susceptible to being used in the Government's case in chief, they could well be used for purposes of cross-examination and rebuttal and, therefore, should be exhibited.

LEB:mcm
(5)



NOTE:

By referenced airtel Los Angeles furnished letters from [redacted] Chairman of the Vietnam Task Force Study, Department of Defense, to the president of Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, dated 5/13/68. The first letter was in the nature of a letter of appreciation to the president of Rand for allowing [redacted] a Rand consultant, to work on the Vietnam Task Force Study. The second letter was a letter of appreciation to the president of Rand for allowing Rand consultants Daniel Ellsberg, [redacted] and [redacted] to work on the Vietnam Task Force Study. For reasons stated above it is believed the letters referred to above should be made exhibits in this case.

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Dalbey _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Ponder _____
Mr. Bates _____
Mr. Winkler _____
Mr. Walters _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

60 JAN 28 1972

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Airtel

1/26/72

To: SACs, Los Angeles (105-27952) (Enclosures - 2)
WFO (65-11613) (Enclosures - 2)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060) - 2997

1 - Mr. Wagoner
1 - Mr. Belanger

MC LEK - SIO

EX-117
Enclosed for Los Angeles and WFO are single copies of reports prepared by the Department of Defense dated 1/15/72 and 1/21/72. The 1/15/72 report reflects investigative results in connection with a detailed examination and comparison of volumes comprising the 47-volume final set of the Vietnam Task Force Study. The 1/21/72 report reflects results of an interview with Henry S. Rowen, former president of Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, California.

The attached material should be reviewed by recipient offices for information and leads. Attention should be paid to the property statement at the bottom of the first page of each report and the material in them should not be included in data or communications prepared for dissemination outside the Bureau.

LEB:mcm

(7) mcm

NOTE:

Enclosed reports reflect investigative activity by the Department of Defense, Office of the General Counsel, into the preparation of the Vietnam Task Force Study. The enclosed material was furnished by the Department of Defense on 1/24/72.

MAILED 2

JAN 25 1972

FBI

CDB/ERH

Mr. Tolson _____
Mr. Felt _____
Mr. Rosen _____
Mr. Mohr _____
Mr. Bishop _____
Mr. Miller, E.S. _____
Mr. Callahan _____
Mr. Casper _____
Mr. Conrad _____
Mr. Dalbey _____
Mr. Cleveland _____
Mr. Ponder _____
Mr. Bates _____
Mr. Walker _____
Mr. Walters _____
Mr. Soyars _____
Tele. Room _____
Miss Holmes _____
Miss Gandy _____

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐



1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey

January 25, 1972

INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES
OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

1 - Mr. E.S. Miller
1 - Mr. C.D. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wagoner

Daniel Ellsberg:



On December 29, 1971, a true bill was returned by the Federal Grand Jury, Los Angeles, California, charging Daniel Ellsberg and Anthony J. Russo, Jr., with violations of the Theft of Government Property and Espionage Statutes. Vu Van Thai was named as a coconspirator but not as a codefendant. Fingerprints of Thai have been found on pertinent documents. A bench warrant for the arrest of Russo was issued on the same date, with Russo surrendering himself on December 30, 1971, to the office of the Federal Public Defender at Los Angeles.

62-98194

(1) - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

HJM:bsf (14)

SEE NOTE PAGE FOUR

65-74060-

INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES
OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

Investigation had revealed that Russo had stayed for a short time on December 30, 1971, at the residence of [REDACTED].

Interviews of [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] on November 23, 1971, and December 28, 1971, had disclosed that Russo was acquainted with [REDACTED] and on one occasion, during the Christmas season of 1971, Russo had attended a cocktail party at [REDACTED] home where [REDACTED] had conversed with Russo.

[REDACTED] was interviewed on January 20, 1972, at which time she revealed that she had met Russo through a mutual acquaintance who had been an employee of the Rand Corporation in Santa Monica. The original meeting had taken place approximately three years ago and she has seen him periodically since that time on a purely social basis.

[REDACTED] pointed out that since the death of her husband approximately five years ago, she has attempted to fill the void of her husband's death by making her home a place where her children can bring their friends. Several of her children are in college and their friends frequently visit her home, leading to her observation that the [REDACTED] home appears to be constantly filled with people, with Russo being just one of many.

[REDACTED] pointed out that during his visits, Russo has complained of harassment from authorities and curiosity seekers, and in reaction to this she has attempted to offer him a haven. She pointed out that there has been no discussion by her with Russo of his involvement in the alleged theft and reproduction of confidential documents involved in this investigation, and she emphasized that she possessed no information regarding Russo's involvement with Ellsberg in this matter, other than information obtained through public news media. [REDACTED] claimed that she had met Ellsberg on one occasion at a fund-raising affair in a private home in Beverly Hills, California, the location and date of this meeting not being recalled by her.

As previously reported, in the early morning hours of [REDACTED] telephonically contacted the Washington Field Office of this

INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES
OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

Bureau stating that various news media representatives were then at the "Washington Post" in the process of duplicating copies of the classified "Pentagon papers" involved in this matter. [REDACTED], when recontacted later that date, identified one of the participants in this duplication session as being [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] added that [REDACTED] had been assisted by four other individuals and Burke noted that representatives of other newspapers were present at the "Washington Post" office awaiting receipt of copies of the papers.

Continuing contact has been made with [REDACTED] in an effort to identify the individuals who he had alleged were assisting [REDACTED] in the duplication of the papers involved. The most recent of these contacts, January 17, 1972, resulted in acknowledgement by [REDACTED] that he had erroneously identified [REDACTED] as the individual in charge during the duplication session. He stated that he has now identified the individual acting in that capacity as being one [REDACTED] an editorial writer for the "Washington Post." [REDACTED] pointed out that he has obtained this individual's identity through inquiry among fellow employees at the "Washington Post." He stated that he has additionally identified the four other individuals who were assisting Anderson on June 30, 1971, as [REDACTED] all assigned to the [REDACTED] of the "Washington Post."

The January 19, 1972, issue of "North Shore Penny Saver and Advertiser," a local publication in Great Neck, New York, carried an advertisement indicating that the topic "The Escalated Air War"--"The Newest Deception of the American People" would be discussed by Ellsberg and Fred Branfman on January 30, 1972, at 8 p.m. at the Great Neck South Senior High School, Great Neck, New York. The appearances of Ellsberg and Branfman were being sponsored by the "Co-op for Peace," Box 274, Great Neck, New York.

A flyer emanating from the Movement Speakers Bureau (MSB) was obtained, November 15, 1971, which revealed that the MSB wanted to get speakers on campuses to talk about election year strategy and "the fact that the war in Southeast Asia is not winding down." The period for emphasis in this

INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES
OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

drive by MSB was given as from November 15, 1971, through January 15, 1972. Special rates were offered on speakers' fees to be paid to persons included in the MSB operation. The flyer contained a list of individuals and organizations available through MSB with biographical sketches regarding the separate individuals listed. One of the persons included on this list was Fred Branfman - "Director of Project Air War, a nine-person research group which has more information about the expanding air war and automated battlefields in Southeast Asia, and more documentation of the fact that the war is not winding down, than any group except the Pentagon."

The MSB has been publicly described as having been organized in New York City by Abbie Hoffman, one of the convicted "Chicago Seven" Antiriot Law violators. The stated purpose of MSB is to book radical speakers on college campuses.

As previously scheduled, Ellsberg, on January 20, 1972, spoke to an audience of approximately 400 people attending a dinner at the Biltmore Hotel, New York City. This dinner honored Ruth Gage Colby, a member of the National Peace Action Coalition (NPAC), for her 50 years of service to the cause of peace. Ellsberg's speech was described as basically antiwar and during the course of the speech, he made no statements concerning obtaining or distributing the "Pentagon papers." He did note that the Government was winding down the war in the same fashion that his indictment was being wound down.

The NPAC was founded in 1970 by members of the Socialist Workers Party (SWP). Its objective is to unite masses of people, including labor unions, soldiers, and the black community, in the struggle to end United States intervention in Southeast Asia through orderly and peaceful tactics. The SWP has been designated pursuant to Executive Order 10450.

NOTE:

Xerox copies furnished the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, at The White House, and to the Attorney General with copies for his Deputy and the Assistant Attorney General, Internal Security Division, by cover letter.

BA
January 26, 1972

BY LIAISON

Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr.
Deputy Assistant to the President
for Domestic Affairs
The White House
Washington, D. C.

1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey
1 - Mr. E.S. Miller
1 - Mr. C.D. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Krogh:

Enclosed is a summary covering this Bureau's investigation during the past week relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967.

We are continuing our investigations of unauthorized leaks of classified information, and you will be furnished further results obtained.

Single copies of the enclosure are also being sent to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and to the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure

62-98194

① - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

HJM:bsf
(10)

65-74060-

NOT RECORDED
JAN 27 1972

67 JAN 27 1972

BA
January 26, 1972

BY LIAISON

Honorable H. R. Haldeman
Assistant to the President
The White House
Washington, D. C.

1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey
1 - Mr. E.S. Miller
1 - Mr. C.D. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wagoner

Dear Mr. Haldeman:

Enclosed is a summary covering this Bureau's investigation during the past week relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967.

We are continuing our investigations of unauthorized leaks of classified information, and you will be furnished further results obtained.

Single copies of the enclosure are also being sent to the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, and to the Attorney General.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure

62-98194

① - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

HJM:bsf
(10)

65-74060

67 JAN 27 1972

3A
The Attorney General

January 26, 1972

Director, FBI

INVESTIGATIONS OF UNAUTHORIZED
DISCLOSURES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

1 - Mr. Rosen
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Bishop
1 - Mr. Dalbey
1 - Mr. E.S. Miller
1 - Mr. C.D. Brennan
1 - Mr. Wagoner

Enclosed is a summary covering this Bureau's investigation during the past week relating to Daniel Ellsberg and the publication of material concerning the United States-Vietnam relations during the period 1945-1967.

We are continuing our investigations of unauthorized leaks of classified information, and you will be furnished further results obtained.

Single copies of the enclosure are also being sent to the Honorable H. R. Haldeman, Assistant to the President, and the Honorable Egil Krogh, Jr., Deputy Assistant to the President for Domestic Affairs, at The White House.

Enclosure

62-98194

1 - The Deputy Attorney General (Enclosure)

1 - Assistant Attorney General (Enclosure)
Internal Security Division

① - 65-74060 (MC LEK)

HJM:bsf
(13)

DUPLICATE YELLOW

65-74060-
NOT RECORDED
42 JAN 26 1972

ENCLOSURE
67 JAN 27 1972

ORIGINAL FILED IN 62-98194-264

FBI

Date: 1/18/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL (REGISTERED)
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
ATTN. DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

FROM: SAC, SAN FRANCISCO (65-6076)(P)

SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO

Re San Francisco teletypes to Bureau and other offices dated 1/5/72, and 1/8/72.

Enclosed for the Bureau are five (5) copies of an LHM entitled "DANIEL ELLSBERG" dated 1/18/72. Also enclosed for Boston, Los Angeles and New York are two copies each of LHM. Also enclosed for Los Angeles is one xerox copy of transcript of speech made by DANIEL ELLSBERG on 1/7/72, at Stanford University, Palo Alto, California.

Information in enclosed LHM stating threat made against ELLSBERG's life on the evening of 1/7/72, was furnished to local law enforcement officers.

- ENCLOSURE
- ② - Bureau (Encl 5)(RM)
 - 2 - Boston (65-5236) Encl 2)(RM)
 - 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952)(Encl 3)(RM)
 - 2 - New York (65-25641)(Encl 2)(RM)
 - 2 - San Francisco (65-6076) Encl 2)(RM)

SMB/kle DOD Bughardt (by courier), 1cc destroyed
(10) 1/24/72

L.E.G./rep

2 JAN 21 1972

SPEC. INV. OFFICE

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

55 FEB 4 1972

F-444



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

San Francisco, California

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

January 18, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG

At approximately 1:00 p.m. January 5, 1972, H. Bruce Franklin held a press conference at the Venceremos Headquarters, University Avenue, Palo Alto, California.

H. Bruce Franklin, suspended Assistant Professor at Stanford University, is publicly identified as a member of the Central Committee of the Venceremos Organization (VO).

Formed in December, 1970, the Venceremos Organization (VO), also known as Venceremos, is a militant Marxist-Leninist-Maoist organization composed of third world and white revolutionaries operating in the San Francisco Peninsula area. The publicly stated goal of the VO is to build a united front against the imperialist United States leading to the overthrow of the United States Government by force and violence, armed struggle, and urban guerrilla warfare. The VO has publicly stated that all members must know how to operate and service weapons and have weapons available.

The press conference was covered by KZSU, the student operated radio station, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California.

According to Franklin, as broadcasted by KZSU, "Daniel Ellsberg is coming to speak at Stanford, Friday night (January 7, 1972), and we see a direct connection between these two cases, which raises the question as to who the real criminals are".

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

ENCLOSURE

15-14000-2998

DANIEL ELLSBERG

In the January 5, 1972, issue of the "Stanford Daily", student newspaper, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, there appeared an article entitled "Ellsberg To Speak Friday". According to the article Daniel Ellsberg along with co-defendant, Anthony J. Russo, and Russo's fiancée, Stanford activist, Katherine Barkley, will "kick off" the "Meet the Defense Department Week". Ellsberg, Russo and Barkley will speak on "the Pentagon, the war, and the university".

In January 1, 1972, issue of the "San Francisco Examiner", a daily San Francisco newspaper, there appeared an article entitled "Franklin-Ellsberg Rally Set". According to the article supporters of H. Bruce Franklin will try to link his cause with that of Ellsberg tonight when Ellsberg makes a speech at Stanford University's Memorial Church.

"Franklin, suspended Professor of English and self-styled Marxist-Leninist revolutionary, is facing dismissal following a five to two decision by a board of fellow professors earlier this week".

Franklin has been active in anti-war demonstrations on the campus. Supporters are trying to show a close parallel between his case and that of Ellsberg.

The event opens a week long "Meet the Defense Department" campaign by a coalition of Stanford organizations.

In the January 8, 1972, issue of the "San Francisco Chronicle", a daily San Francisco newspaper, there appeared an article entitled "Death Threat To Ellsberg At Stanford". According to the article a speech by Ellsberg at Stanford University on the night of January 7, 1972, was delayed by the marriage of his co-defendant and a telephone threat against Ellsberg's life.

During his speech "Ellsberg traced changes in his thinking from the days when he was part of the war in Vietnam to the political bombshell he dropped when he released the Pentagon Papers".

DANIEL ELLSBERG

Ellsberg told the audience there has to be "a search for tactics" by those who want to stop the war in Indo-China. "It is necessary to find ways to dramatize the problem to change people's consciousness".

In the January 10, 1972, issue of the "Stanford Daily" there appeared an article entitled "Perceptions Of The War, Stanford, And Franklin - Excerpts From Friday Night's Speech". The article stated in part the following made by Daniel Ellsberg in response to indications that the case involving H. Bruce Franklin and his own were closely tied:

"...There has to be a search for tactics then; I don't know all of them. Tony (Russo) and I involved ourselves in one exercise in truth telling. I hope that this university like other universities will work with that, will fight against secrecy on this campus and elsewhere, against classified information, militancy. will fight ways of turning the heads of the people who wrote that report (the majority report regarding H. Bruce Franklin employed at Stanford), and the people who support it, fight ways of separating this university and the institutions that you will be dealing with the rest of your lives, from complicity in operations like Vietnam. That's the task.

"It is the path of resistance, of militant resistance, all of your lives, and I wish you luck."

In the January 10, 1972, issue of the "Stanford Daily" there appeared a second article entitled "Ellsberg Labels Franklin Decision Fatuous". The article in part stated "Ellsberg said his speech would be brief because just before the wedding (Tony Russo and Katherine Barkley) he was told that somebody proposed to assassinate me in this audience tonight". After Ellsberg was informed of the threat, sheriff deputies urged him not to speak.

DANIEL ELLSBERG

"The former Rand Corp. researcher, who had admitted leaking the secret Pentagon Papers to the press asserted the obvious fact is that Stanford, like most other institutions in our society, is part of a system that is destroying the people of Indo-China in a massive violence".

"He told the crowd of over two thousand that changing presidents, parties, Congresses, or public opinion was not the answer". Instead he argued, people must change "the bloody-minded values" of those who used violence for what they felt were good purposes.

There were several demonstrations held on the SU campus, both before and after the program of speeches. However, these demonstrations were held mainly by students in support of H. Bruce Franklin, recently fired Professor at SU, and there were no injuries, violence or arrests.

CODE

TELETYPE

NITEL

1/26/72

TO SACS BALTIMORE (65-3896)
BOSTON (65-5236)

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

FROM DIRECTOR FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK - SIO

REBUTELS TO BOSTON DECEMBER THREE LAST AND NOVEMBER THIRTY
LAST, NO COPIES TO BALTIMORE.

REFERENCED BUREAU TELETYPES NOTED POSSIBILITY [REDACTED]
WAS POSSIBLY UTILIZED BY DANIEL ELLSBERG IN DISTRIBUTING "PENTAGON
PAPERS" TO PRESS IN LATE JUNE AND JULY, NINETEEN SEVENTY-ONE, AFTER
INITIAL APPEARANCE OF ARTICLES IN "THE NEW YORK TIMES" BASED ON
THESE DOCUMENTS.

POSSIBILITY ALSO EXISTS [REDACTED] FORMERLY CONNECTED WITH
THE DISPATCH NEWS SERVICE, WASHINGTON, D.C., MAY ALSO HAVE ASSISTED
ELLSBERG IN THIS DOCUMENTS DISTRIBUTION, PRIOR INVESTIGATION HAS
DETERMINED [REDACTED] WAS APPARENTLY UTILIZED AS A CONFIDENTIAL AIDE BY
ELLSBERG IN AUGUST, NINETEEN SEVENTY-ONE, IN THAT [REDACTED] PERSONALLY
[REDACTED] BELONGING TO ELLSBERG WHICH WAS THEN IN

1 - LOS ANGELES (105-27952) (AIRMAIL)

JRH:mcm
(5)

REC-2 65 - 74060 - 2999

EX-104

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

19 JAN 28 1972

57 JAN 31 1972

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☒

Tolson _____
Felt _____
Rosen _____
M. _____
Bishop _____
Miller, E.S. _____
Callahan _____
Casper _____
Conrad _____
Dalbey _____
Cleveland _____
Ponder _____
Bates _____
Waikart _____
Walters _____
Soyars _____
de. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

EM

CB
EIRH

JRH

TELETYPE TO BALTIMORE AND BOSTON
RE: MC LEK
65-74060

STORAGE ON THE WEST COAST. [REDACTED] ALSO RETRIEVED A CARTON FROM
STORED HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS OF SPENCER MARK, WHICH CARTON IS BELIEVED
TO HAVE CONTAINED A QUANTITY OF REPRODUCED PAGES WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN
A PART OF THE "PENTAGON PAPERS."

BUREAU FILES INDICATE [REDACTED] MAINTAINS RESIDENCE IN BETHESDA,
MARYLAND, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

BALTIMORE AND BOSTON IMMEDIATELY OBTAIN AVAILABLE TELEPHONE
TOLL RECORDS FOR AFOREMENTIONED TELEPHONE NUMBERS FOR PERIOD
JANUARY ONE, NINETEEN SEVENTY-ONE TO SEPTEMBER ONE, NINETEEN SEVENTY-
ONE. FORWARD FOUR LEGIBLE XEROX COPIES OF TOLL RECORDS THUS
OBTAINED TO BUREAU VIA ORIGINAL AND THREE COPIES OF COVER AIRTEL.

HANDLE IMMEDIATELY AS TELEPHONE RECORDS INVOLVED MAY BE SUBJECT
TO DESTRUCTION AFTER SIX-MONTH PERIOD.

AIRMAIL COPY TO LOS ANGELES.

NOTE:

Investigation to date has developed no information indicating
manner in which "Pentagon papers" were disseminated to newspapers
during 6-7/71 or individuals involved in this process. [REDACTED]
currently employed by anti-establishment underground newspaper in
Boston, is [REDACTED]

CONTINUED - OVER

TELETYPE TO BALTIMORE AND BOSTON
RE: MC LEK
65-74060

NOTE CONTINUED:

newspaper supplement with national circulation, and as such, may have been in position to have numerous contacts in newspaper field. Role of [REDACTED] in retrieving what may have been stored "Pentagon papers" on West Coast for Daniel Ellsberg coupled with fact [REDACTED] formerly was associated with a news service in Washington, D.C., clearly suggests possibility [REDACTED] was involved in document dissemination. Review of telephone calls made by [REDACTED] may provide clues as to their possible involvement with Ellsberg in this matter.

FBI

Date: 1/28/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL REGISTERED MAIL
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
ATT: DID

FROM : SAC, NEW YORK (65-25641)

SUBJECT: MC LEK - SIO

ReNYtel, 1/21/72.

Enclosed herewith are the original and five copies of an LHM dated as above at New York, captioned, "DANIEL ELLSBERG; ESPIONAGE - X".

It is noted that [REDACTED] attempted to tape ELLSBERG's remarks, but because of the acoustics in the ballroom and the microphone system, the tape was virtually unintelligible.

REC-526 5-74060-3001

10 JAN 31 1972

- 2-Bureau (Encls. 5) (RM)
1-Boston (65-5236) (Encl. 1) (Info) (RM)
1-Los Angeles (105-27952) (Encl. 1) (Info) (RM)
1-WFO (65-11613) (Encl. 1) (Info) (RM)
1-New York (Encl. 1) (Info) (RM)

TJD:mfw (31)
(7)

51 FEB 2 1972

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

*In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.*

New York, New York
January 25, 1972

Daniel Ellsberg
Espionage - X

This document contains neither recommendations
nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property
of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and
its contents are not to be distributed outside
your agency.

3001

Daniel Ellsberg

On the evening of January 20, 1972, Daniel Ellsberg spoke to an audience of about 400 people attending a dinner held at the Biltmore Hotel, New York City, to honor Ruth Gage Colby, a member of the National Peace Action Coalition (NPAC) for her 50 years service to the cause of peace.

The NPAC was founded in 1970 by members of the Socialist Workers Party (SWP). Its basic objective is to unite masses of people, including labor unions, soldiers and the black community, in the struggle to end United States intervention in Southeast Asia through orderly and peaceful tactics.

The SWP has been designated pursuant to Executive Order 10450.

On January 22, 1972, a source, who has furnished reliable information in the past, advised that Ellsberg, in his opening remarks, cited the fact the dinner was being given in honor of Ruth Gage Colby for her 50 years of activity on behalf of peace. He indicated that he hoped that the Vietnam war would not be in progress 50 years from now. He made the comment that the government was winding down the war in the same way it was winding down his indictment. He made comment concerning earlier speeches made that night describing the bloodshed in South Vietnam. He indicated that more radical changes in the United States would have to occur to bring about the end of the conflict in Southeast Asia. Ellsberg made no statements of a significant nature relating to the "Pentagon Papers".

A second source, who has furnished reliable information in the past, who was in attendance at the above dinner, advised that Ellsberg opened his remarks by paying tribute to Ruth Gage Colby who has been working for peace since 1919. According to this second source, Ellsberg indicated that President Nixon is not going to end the war in Vietnam but is, as he has for the last three years, going to continue "winding down" the war. In this connection, Ellsberg cited the fact that the President is increasing the military budget at the expense of needed domestic social programs. Ellsberg

Daniel Ellsberg

praised those veterans who are actively working to end the war, citing the fact that resistance to the war is necessary to bring about its end. Ellsberg discussed the fact that the war in Vietnam from the point of view of the United States is unjustifiable. He cited stories from his own experiences in Vietnam to justify this view. During the course of his speech, Ellsberg, according to the second source, made no significant statements relating to how he obtained the "Pentagon Papers" or why or how he distributed them. He did state that the "Pentagon Papers" "had done their thing and that the 'Anderson Papers' were now doing theirs".

FBI

Date: 1/21/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Weikart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM: SAC, WFO (65-11613)

ATTN: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE
DIVISIONMC LEK
SIOLATENT FINGERPRINT
SECTION, IDENTIFICATION
DIVISION

Enclosed for the information of the Bureau are two copies of a letter prepared by [REDACTED] of the Department of Defense (DOD) Investigating Team, Office of the General Counsel, DOD, to JOHN MARTIN of Justice, wherein [REDACTED] requested more extensive reporting of latent fingerprint examinations for use in his DOD documentary research of Vietnam Task Force Study documents.

[REDACTED] furnished a copy of his letter to [REDACTED] of WFO. [REDACTED] has been handling WFO liaison with DOD in connection with this investigation. [REDACTED] made no commitments to [REDACTED]

ENCLOSURE

- ④ - Bureau (enc. 2)
- 1 - Boston (65-5236)
- 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)
- 1 - WFO

BCR:sag
(7)

NOTE: no action
[REDACTED] is way
off base. DOD has
no interest in
L.P. info as they are
highly hostile to
documentary comparisons only
Martin of Dept of Justice
is not making
a request
as of 1/24/72
file

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per _____

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	ES
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Workart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 039 LA FLAIN

1149PM URGENT 1-26-72 JAA

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060) ATTN: DID

BOSTON (65-5236)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

3P

TELETYPE

BCDP/Brer/alt

MCLEK, SIO.

CITY ATTORNEY, MUNICIPAL BUILDING, WEST LOS ANGELES,
ADVISED JANUARY TWENTY SIX INSTANT LOCAL [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ^{IN} ORIGINALLY SCHEDULED FOR NINE
A.M. JANUARY TWENTY SIX INSTANT HAS BEEN POSTPOSED UNTIL
NINE A.M. MARCH EIGHT NEXT AS DEFENSE REQUESTED CONTINUANCE TO
A LATER DATE. THERE IS NO REASON RECORDED FOR THIS REQUEST.

[REDACTED] RAND
CORPORATION SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA, ADVISED TODAY HE WAS

[REDACTED]. ELLSBERG'S OFFICE WAS LOCATED
DOWN THE HALL FROM HIS OWN AND ON MANY OCCASIONS DURING THE COURSE
OF BUSINESS WOULD CONTACT ELLSBERG IN HIS OFFICE. [REDACTED] SAID
ELLSBERG HAD A PRODUCT TO PRODUCE FOR RAND CLIENTS, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] HE HAD OCCASION TO CHECK

END PAGE ONE

51 FEB 2 1972

PAGE TWO

ON ELLSBERG'S PROGRESS. AT NO TIME DID HE OBSERVE CARELESSNESS OR LAXNESS IN SECURITY ON THE PART OF ELLSBERG. ELLSBERG'S ATTITUDE INDICATED HE WAS VERY CONSCIOUS OF HIS KNOWLEDGE OF TOP SECRET MATTERS, OF THE PRIVILEGE AFFORDED HIM TO HAVE ACCESS TO TOP SECRET MATERIAL AND INFORMATION AND WAS VERY CONSCIENTIOUS ABOUT SECURITY PRECAUTIONS. IF ELLSBERG WAS EVER GUILTY OF A SECURITY LAPSE SUCH AS LEAVING TOP SECRET DRAWERS OPENED AND UNATTENDED, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT TO [REDACTED] ATTENTION AND IT WOULD BE HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO REPRIMAND ELLSBERG FOR THE LAPSE. HE COULD NOT RECALL THIS EVER HAPPENING IN REGARD TO DANIEL ELLSBERG.

[REDACTED] KNEW THAT A STUDY HAD BEEN MADE REGARDING THE SITUATION IN VIETNAM THAT RAND CORPORATION EMPLOYEES INCLUDING ELLSBERG, HAD PARTICIPATED, AND HAD BEEN PRODUCED IN WRITTEN FORM. HE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE NUMBER OF VOLUMES INVOLVED AND WAS NOT AWARE THAT THERE WAS A THIRTY EIGHT VOLUME DRAFT FOLLOWED BY A FORTY SEVEN VOLUME FINISHED PRODUCT. HE WAS NOT AWARE THAT ANY COPY WAS IN THE POSSESSION OF THE RAND CORPORATION AND WAS NOT AWARE THAT DANIEL ELLSBERG HAD ANY COPIES.

[REDACTED] ADVISED THAT [REDACTED] AFTER RUSSO RETURNED FROM VIETNAM. RUSSO WAS TERMINATED BECAUSE OF BUDGET CUTS AS HE WAS THE LEAST QUALIFIED IN HIS DEPARTMENT.

END PAGE TWO

LA 105-27952

PAGE THREE

ADMINISTRATIVE: REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE JANUARY
TWENTY FIVE LAST.

RAND CORPORATION FURNISHED LOS ANGELES COPY OF SUBPOENA
DUCES TECUM SERVED ON CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, RAND CORPORATION BY
U.S. GOVERNMENT CALLING FOR TRIAL APPEARANCE AND EIGHTEEN ITEMS
OR ORIGINAL RECORDS PERTAINING TO DOCUMENTS INVOLVED IN THIS
INVESTIGATION. COPY OF SUBPOENA WILL BE FURNISHED BUREAU BY
SEPARATE COVER.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

FBI WA RDR

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, ES	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Fonder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Walkart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

NR 030 LA CODE

938 PM URGENT 1-25-72 NEE

TO DIRECTOR (65-74060)

ATTN DID

BOSTON (65-5236)

WASHINGTON FIELD (65-11613)

FROM LOS ANGELES (105-27952)

TELETYPE

MC LEX, SIO.

ANTHONY RUSSO [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

ON JANUARY TWENTY FOUR LAST RADIO STATION KPFK, PACIFICA
FOUNDATION, LOS ANGELES, PRESENTED A FORTY MINUTE IN DASH DEPTH
STUDY OF RAND CORPORATION WHICH INVOLVED INTERVIEWS OF PRESENT
AND PAST RAND EMPLOYEES. AMONG THOSE INTERVIEWED WERE ANTHONY
J. RUSSO AND DOCTOR MELVIN GURTOV, THE PENTAGON PAPERS AND
DANIEL ELLSBERG'S ROLE IN LEAKING THESE PAPERS TO THE NEWS MEDIA
WERE DISCUSSED; HOWEVER, NO ADMISSIONS OF EVIDENTIARY

END PAGE ONE

57 FEB 1 1972

65-74060-

F.B.I.

Date: 1/24/72

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL

AIR MAIL - REGISTERED MAIL

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
 FROM: SAC, BUFFALO (65-2971) (P)

REC-56

DANIEL ELLSBERG
 ESP - X
 (OO: LOS ANGELES)

Re Bureau airtel to Boston, Buffalo and Los Angeles,
 1/17/72, captioned "MC LEK, SIO".

Enclosed for the Bureau, Los Angeles and Boston
 are five, one and one copies respectively of an LHM captioned
 "DANIEL ELLSBERG". Relevant information pertaining to

This LHM is not classified since there is no
 apparent reason for such action.

The "Buffalo Evening News" article further identified
 in this LHM shows RICHARD LIPSITZ to be a member of the Board of
 Directors along with MANAK and, therefore, suggests they are
 acquainted. RICHARD LIPSITZ, for several years, has been
 actively associated with the Niagara Frontier Branch of the
 American Civil Liberties Union. He is an attorney in Buffalo,
 New York.

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3014

- 2 - Bureau (Encs. 5) - (RM)
 1 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (Enc. 1) (RM)
 1 - Boston (65-5236) (Enc. 1) (RM)
 1 - Buffalo

JWS:mlr
 (5)

1 cc airtel to SD Boston (info)
 1 airtel to and retained as to 6/1/72
 2 cc destroyed 1-28-72 JWS/Rep

REC-56

JAN 27 1972

SPEC IN OFFICE

Approved

Sent

M Per

57 FEB 1 1972

Special Agent in Charge

PAGE TWO

VALUE WERE MADE. PROGRAM PRESENTED AN EXCELLENT DESCRIPTION OF RAND'S HISTORY, POLICIES AND PURPOSES OF RESEARCH AS WELL AS IDENTIFYING VARIOUS NATIONAL AND STATE AGENCIES WHICH HAVE AWARDED CONTRACTS TO RAND CORPORATION. VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF PROGRAM BEING PREPARED AND WILL BE FORWARDED TO THE BUREAU AND INTERESTED OFFICES WHEN COMPLETED FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.

ADMINISTRATIVE-- REFERENCE LOS ANGELES TELETYPE JANUARY TWENTY FOUR LAST.

[REDACTED], ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT, RAND CORPORATION, SANTA MONICA, UNAVAILABLE FOR INTERVIEW JANURAY TWENTY FIVE INSTANT. HOWEVER APPOINTMENT MADE FOR FOUR P. M. JANUARY TWENTY SIX NEXT.

ASSISTANT U. S. ATTORNEY DAVID NISSEN HAS REQUESTED AND HAS BEEN PROVIDED WITH NINE UNCLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS WRITTEN BY DANIEL ELLSBERG AND PUBLISHED IN HIS BEHALF BY THE RAND CORPORATION, ALL OF WHICH RELATE TO THE VIETNAM WAR. NISSEN HAS STATED HE WISHES TO EXAMINE THESE DOCUMENTS TO EVALUATE ELLSBERG'S THOUGHTS ON THE WAR AT VARIOUS STAGES OF HIS RAND EMPLOYMENT.

LOS ANGELES WILL FOLLOW AND ADVISE REGARDING RUSSO MUNICIPAL COURT APPEARANCE.

CASE RECEIVING CONTINUOUS AND PREFERRED ATTENTION.

END

FBI WA RDR

FBI

Date: 1/25/72

Transmit the following in _____

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via A I R T E L _____

(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (65-5369)

SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO

Re Bureau airtels dated 1/14/72 and 1/19/72.

- ③ - Bureau (RM)
- 1 - Boston (65-5236) (INFO) (RM)
- 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (RM)
- 2 - Chicago

DEL/bak
(8)

Approved: _____
Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

57 FEB 1 1972

Spec. Inv. Service
JAN 31 1972
9-602 1970 O - 402-735



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Buffalo, New York
January 24, 1972

DANIEL ELLSBERG



The October 7, 1970, Four Star edition of the "Courier Express", a daily newspaper published in Buffalo, New York, on page 45, contains an article captioned "Proving Police Abuse Hard, UB Prof Declares".

ENCLOSURE

65-74060-3014

DANIEL ELLSBERG

The article relates that JAMES P. MANAK, Assistant Professor at the UB Law School and a former director of the Legal Aid Bureau, spoke at a program on "The Citizen and His Rights as Regards Arrest and Search" at the Jefferson Education Center, 1203 Jefferson Avenue. MANAK told about 30 listeners complaints against "abuse of police powers" can be filed with the Police Department, Legal Aid Society, American Civil Liberties Union, United States Attorney's Office and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. b7c

When questioned by his audience on chances of justice in "abuse" cases, the educator conceded: "I suspect there are very few successful prosecutions".

MANAK said an alternative to filing criminal complaints in "abuse" cases is suing "the officer or police department for civil damages".

The August 6, 1971, Late City Complete edition of the "Buffalo Evening News", a daily newspaper published in Buffalo, New York, on page 25, contained an article captioned "Law Center to Handle Cases in Public Interest".

The article concerned formation of a public service law firm that would concentrate on environmental and consumer advocacy, providing legal counsel for community organizations on civil rights and criminal justice. The article identified the firm as the Center for Justice Through Law, Incorporated and said the center would concentrate on cases that would have broad public implications and would represent the interests of those individuals and groups in society who for various reasons in the past, have been denied an adequate forum.

The article stated the center would differ from public defender operations in that it would take only cases with broad implications rather than representing every indigent defendant. Also, that it would parallel activities of the American Civil Liberties Union in some cases and would cooperate with the American Civil Liberties Union. One professor, JAMES MANAK, was identified in this article as being one member of the Board of Directors.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

FBI

Date: 1/24/72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL AIRMAIL - REGISTERED MAIL
(Priority)

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)

FROM : SAC, MILWAUKEE (65-1335) (P) *REC-55*

SUBJECT: MC LEK
SIO *Reprint*

Re Boston airtel to Bureau, 1/5/72; Bureau airtel to Alexandria, 1/14/72; Bureau airtel to Albany, 1/18/72; and Milwaukee airtel to Bureau, 1/18/72.

- 200608D*
- 3 - Bureau (65-74060) (AM-RM)
 - 2 - Boston (65-5236) (AM-RM)
 - 2 - Los Angeles (105-27952) (AM-RM)
 - 2 - New York (65-25641) (AM-RM)
 - 2 - WFO (65-11613) (AM-RM)
 - 2 - Milwaukee (65-1335)
- KAM/kdb (13)

REC-55

JAN 27 1972

Approved: *K/g*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971 413-135

Five

Bar/...

SPECIAL OFFICE

MI 65-1335

Re Bureau airtel to Alexandria, 1/14/72, listed two numbers in Madison, Wisconsin, area:

[REDACTED]

For information of Bureau and receiving offices, a review of Milwaukee indices re The Progressive, Inc., reflect Milwaukee File [REDACTED]. Review of file reflects on 12/22/71, [REDACTED] is a monthly magazine, which is distributed nationwide. The magazine has a general reputation as an independent publication not connected with any political party and espousing a liberal viewpoint on political and social affairs. Source stated there is no indication this magazine is currently affiliated with any subversive organization or movement.

[REDACTED]

Investigation conducted Madison reflects [REDACTED] currently employed as a Professor of Journalism at the UW, Madison.

Milwaukee indices negative re [REDACTED]

Re Bureau airtel to Albany, 1/18/72, requested information concerning telephone number at Madison, Wisconsin, and subscriber, which information was furnished Bureau and interested offices by Milwaukee airtel to Bureau, 1/18/72.

MI 65-1335

One extra copy of instant communication being
furnished Bureau for routing to [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

FBI

Date: 1-15-72

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

REC 20

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (65-74060)
ATTENTION: DOMINELL
FROM: SAC, CLEVELAND (65-3521) (RUC)
SUBJECT: MC LEX
SIO

OO: LOS ANGELES

Re Boston airtels to Bureau, 1-5-72 and 1-12-72; and
Cleveland airtel to Bureau, 1-13-72.

Following are results of investigation pertaining to
telephone numbers called in Cleveland Division:

Non-published number registered to

- ③ - Bureau (RM)
- 2 - Boston (RM)
- 2 - Los Angeles (RM)
- 2 - New York (RM)
- 2 - Washington Field (RM)
- 1 - Cleveland

GJS:jlb
(12)

REC 20

EX-117

11 JAN 24 1972

SPECIAL INV. OFFICE

Approved: _____

5 FEB 1 1972

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

GPO : 1973 O - 504-131

CV 65-74060

[REDACTED] X [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
indices negative. No additional information available on
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] X [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Cleveland
indices negative.

1/26/72

AIRTEL

1 - Mr. J. R. Wagoner
1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy

To: SACs, Boston (65-5236)
Philadelphia (65-6346)

From: Director, FBI (65-74060)

MC LEK
SIO

ReBSairtels 9/17/71 and 9/13/71, and Philadelphia airtel
9/24/71.

Referenced Boston airtel 9/13/71 noted that a [REDACTED] from
telephone call was made to telephone number [REDACTED]
telephone number 617-492-1420, subscribed to by Daniel Ellsberg,
10 Hilliard Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Referenced Philadelphia airtel identified subscriber to
telephone number [REDACTED]

Referenced Boston airtel 9/17/71 noted telephone call from
aforementioned telephone listed to Daniel Ellsberg was made on 6/4/71 to
telephone number [REDACTED]

Bureau files do not indicate [REDACTED] have been
further identified and consideration given to interviewing them relative to
their knowledge of Daniel Ellsberg and his activities.

In the event such identification has already been effected,
recipients should advise Bureau, identifying communication containing
such data.

1 - Los Angeles (105-27952)

MAILED 5

JAN 26 1972

FBI

19 JAN 27 1972

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

(8)

58 FEB 1 1972

CDB/ [initials]

Airtel to Boston
RE: MC LEK
65-74060

If these individuals have not been further identified to date, investigation should be immediately initiated to do so and Bureau furnished results thereof, accompanied by recommendations as to desirability of interview.

NOTE:

[REDACTED] of telephone numbers called from a residence telephone of Daniel Ellsberg's for the period immediately prior to the publication by "The New York Times" on 6/13/71 of the "Pentagon papers" reveals two individuals apparently called by Ellsberg have been tentatively identified but Bufiles contain no record of complete identification or whether these individuals were ever interviewed concerning Ellsberg. Above investigation is desirable for completion of our identification of persons contacted by Ellsberg during this critical period.